

Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care (CoC) Request for Information (RFI): Collaborative Applicant, HMIS Lead, and Coordinated Entry Lead Functions

Issuing Entity: Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care Board of Directors

RFI Contact

- Name: Kristy Greenwalt, on behalf of the CoC RFI-RFP Workgroup
- Title: Consultant
- Email: Maricopa.RFI.RFP.Workgroup@gmail.com

Release Date: Thursday, September 4, 2025

Response Due: Thursday, October 2, 2025

Q&A Deadline: Thursday, September 18, 2025

Notice Of Timing Considerations With Regard to HUD FY2025 CoC NOFO

The RFI-RFP Workgroup, on behalf of the Maricopa Regional CoC Board, is aware that HUD intends to publish a CoC Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for FY2025, though the release date is currently unknown. To avoid delays in system-level planning, the CoC is moving forward with this Request for Information (RFI) and is planning the following:

- If the NOFO is released **before Thursday, September 18**, the Workgroup will pause the RFI process and resume it after the funding competition concludes.
- If the NOFO is released **on or after Thursday, September 18**, the RFI will continue as planned, closing on **October 2**.

The RFI-RFP Workgroup reserves the right to adjust the timeline for this RFI as the situation evolves and information is provided by HUD, however interested applicants are encouraged to begin preparing their responses as soon as possible.

I. Purpose

The Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care Board (hereinafter referred to as CoC Board) is issuing this Request for Information (RFI) to gather input from CoC members/partners,

public and private organizations, and potential respondents regarding the future structuring and procurement of three key CoC staffing roles:

- Collaborative Applicant
- Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Lead
- Coordinated Entry System (CES) Lead(s)

The purpose of this RFI is to:

- Assess the local and national landscape of organizations with the capacity, expertise, and interest to fulfill one or more of these roles.
- Invite feedback on the scope, responsibilities, and potential integration of these functions to improve system performance, coordination, and accountability.
- Understand funding requirements and operational considerations, including potential efficiencies or shared service models.
- Gather insights on innovative practices, technology applications, and system changes—both cost-neutral and requiring new investment—that could strengthen the CoC’s ability to prevent and end homelessness.
- Seek perspectives on how to navigate anticipated changes in the federal policy and funding landscape, including strategies to safeguard local priorities.

This RFI is exploratory and non-binding. It is not a commitment to any procurement outcome. Responses will not be scored but rather used to inform the CoC Board’s strategy for a possible upcoming Request for Proposals (RFP), including decisions on role definitions, sequencing of procurements, and timing of procurements.

The CoC Board welcomes responses from both current and new partners—local, regional, or national—who can bring fresh perspectives, proven experience, and a commitment to advancing equitable, effective, and data-driven solutions to preventing and ending homelessness in Maricopa County.

II. Disclaimers

The following terms apply to all responses submitted under this RFI. By submitting a response, the respondent acknowledges and agrees to these conditions:

- **Purpose of the RFI:** This RFI is issued solely for information-gathering purposes to inform future planning and potential procurement activities. It does not represent a commitment by the CoC Board to award funding, enter into a contract, or proceed with any procurement.
- **Review Process:** All responses will be shared with members of the CoC RFI-RFP Workgroup and reviewed by a third-party consultant to inform recommendations to the CoC Board.

- **No Confidential Information:** Respondents should not include proprietary or confidential information in their submissions. All materials submitted will be treated as public information to the extent required by applicable law.
- **Ownership of Submissions:** All responses become the property of the CoC Board and will not be returned to respondents.
- **No Cost Reimbursement:** The CoC Board will not reimburse any costs incurred in the preparation or submission of a response to this RFI.
- **Right to Amend, Supplement, Pause, or Cancel:** The CoC Board reserves the right to amend, supplement, pause, or cancel this RFI at any time, for any reason, without obligation to any respondent.
- **No Obligation to Proceed:** The CoC Board may choose not to proceed with any procurement, solicitation, or role re-competition as a result of this RFI.

III. Background

The Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care has been staffed by the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) since 1999, with MAG serving as the Collaborative Applicant and providing backbone support to the system. In FY2024, [Maricopa County received over \\$52 million in HUD CoC Program funding](#) from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), which supports 27 homeless assistance programs operated by 9 different agencies throughout the region. As part of this larger package of funding, MAG received \$1.5M in planning grant funds to help it carry out the duties associated with the Collaborative Applicant role, which is the maximum planning grant amount HUD has allowed to date for CoCs of Maricopa’s size.

Currently, Solari, Inc. serves as the HMIS Lead. Solari receives an annual HMIS grant of \$894K to support its work administering the HMIS for the CoC. UMOM New Day Centers, Inc. serves as the CES Lead for family households, receiving \$596k per year, and Keys to Change (formerly Human Services Campus, Inc.) serves as the CES Lead for unaccompanied adults, receiving \$967k per year. In contrast to the planning grant, HMIS and CES grants are more flexible and are based on scope of work and budget approved by the CoC Board as part of the local project rating and ranking process during the CoC funding competition. In addition to the CoC Program grants, the HMIS Lead and CES Leads may supplement funding with other sources to fully support their operations.

In the fall of 2024, the CoC Board conducted a structural analysis to evaluate the CoC’s organizational structure and governance functions. This assessment identified a series of steps to strengthen operations, enhance accountability, and align decision-making with community priorities. Key recommendations resulting from this analysis included:

- Updating the CoC Charter to clarify roles within the CoC, document governance protocols, update committee structure, and clarify oversight authority;

- Executing Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) between the CoC Board and CoC staffing entities to further detail roles, responsibilities, expectations, and accountability mechanisms; and
- Conducting a Request for Information (RFI) and a potential Request for Proposals (RFP) to compete CoC staffing roles to ensure transparency, adaptability, and public trust.

Under the revised Charter,¹ each staffing role—Collaborative Applicant, HMIS Lead, and CES Lead(s)—must be re-competed every 4-6 years, on a timeline determined by the CoC Board. This RFI represents the first step in fulfilling that commitment and shaping the forthcoming competitive procurement process.

The CoC Board is undertaking this process during a time of both opportunity and challenge. The region continues to face increasing demand for housing and services, coupled with uncertainty about future federal policy and funding levels. By gathering broad input from community partners, the CoC Board aims to ensure that the CoC is well-positioned to maintain local priorities while also adapting to a changing landscape and driving innovation across the homeless response system.

IV. Submission Instructions

A. Who Can Respond

Two categories of respondents are invited to respond to this RFI:

Category A: Interested Applicants.

Any eligible entity with interest in serving in one or more of the designated CoC staffing roles—Collaborative Applicant, HMIS Lead, and/or CES Lead(s)—is invited to respond. Per HUD regulations, entities eligible for serving in designated staffing roles include:

- Local government agencies
- State agencies and instrumentalities of state or local government
- Public Housing Authorities
- Nonprofit organizations

Entities that currently receive CoC funds, whether directly or as subrecipients, are eligible to serve as one of the designated staffing entities. However, such respondents will be required to include in their submission a clear explanation of how they would identify, mitigate, and manage real or perceived conflicts of interest, consistent with the conflict of interest provisions outlined in the CoC's Charter.

¹ The CoC's revised charter is located on the CoC website at <https://maricopacoc.org/about-us/membership-coc-board/>.

Category B: General Feedback Respondents.

Individuals or entities that have ideas for strengthening these roles, improving coordination, or driving innovation in the homeless response system are also encouraged to respond, but must clearly indicate in the provided Response Template that they are offering **general feedback only** so the RFI/RFP Workgroup can appropriately sort and review responses. Please note that the eligibility criteria noted under Category A do not apply to General Respondents. All feedback is welcome.

B. How to Submit

1. Format: Responses must be submitted using the provided RFI Response Template. The RFI-RFP Workgroup appreciates attempts to limit responses to 20 pages or less.
2. File Type: Submit Response Template as a PDF or Word document.
3. Attachments: Respondents may submit supporting information along with their Response Template if they chose but are not required to do so. If supporting information is provided, each document should be clearly labeled, with the response template providing clear reference to each supporting document.
4. Submission Method: Email your completed response to Maricopa.RFI.RFP.Workgroup@gmail.com with the subject line: Maricopa RFI Response – [Your Organization Name] – [Role(s) of Interest or General Feedback].
5. Deadline: All responses must be received by **Thursday, October 2, 2025 at 5:00 pm MST**. Late submissions will not be reviewed.
6. Questions: Any clarifying questions regarding this RFI must be submitted in writing to Maricopa.RFI.RFP.Workgroup@gmail.com no later than Thursday, September 18, 2025 at 5pm MST. Written responses will be provided directly to those submitting questions, and responses to all submitted questions will be posted on the CoC's website at <https://maricopacoc.org/request-for-information/> no later than September 25, 2025 to ensure all respondents have access to the same information.

V. Overview of Roles and Scopes of Work

A. Overview of Roles

The CoC Board is required under HUD's CoC Program regulations (24 CFR Part 578) to designate specific entities to carry out key system-level responsibilities. These include:

- **Collaborative Applicant:** Supports the development and submission of the HUD CoC Program application, and serves as the convening organization for the CoC, supporting visioning and planning by the CoC Board, providing project management support of committees, fostering communication and alignment across partners, and carrying out day-to-day operations to ensure effective functioning of the homeless response system.

- **HMIS Lead:** Administers the CoC’s HMIS in compliance with HUD’s HMIS Data Standards, manages data quality and reporting, and supports the use of data to inform policy, planning, and system improvement.
- **CES Lead(s):** Operates the CoC’s coordinated entry process, ensuring equitable, streamlined, and consistent assessment and referral to housing and services for people experiencing homelessness.

Each of these roles are integral to the functioning of the homeless response system. Together, they ensure that:

- The CoC maintains compliance with all HUD requirements;
- The CoC Board and community have access to timely, accurate, and actionable data;
- People experiencing or at risk of homelessness are connected to assistance quickly, equitably, and effectively; and
- The CoC has the leadership and infrastructure needed to adapt to changing conditions, improve system performance, and achieve community-wide goals.

While each role has distinct responsibilities, strong coordination and collaboration among the Collaborative Applicant, HMIS Lead, and CES Lead(s) is essential. These entities share responsibility for aligning committee work, integrating data and policy, and ensuring the CoC’s strategies and operations are coherent, efficient, and responsive to community needs.

Detailed, high-level descriptions of each role is provided in the Scope of Work section that follows.

B. Scope of Work

While the minimum expectations for these roles are defined by HUD requirements, the CoC Board is committed to evolving Maricopa’s homelessness response system to expand capacity, diversify funding, drive innovation, and strengthen accountability. This will require staffing entities not only to ensure compliance with federal rules, but also to take on expanded scopes of work that require greater staff capacity and different types of expertise. The CoC Board recognizes that such an evolution will require resources beyond those available through HUD’s CoC Program and intends to use this RFI to explore what funding, staffing, and partnerships are needed to support that growth.

Applicants requiring more detailed information on HUD requirements should consult the following key resources:

- [24 CFR Part 578 \(CoC Program Interim Rule\)](#) – establishes baseline federal requirements for CoCs and designated roles.

- [Collaborative Applicant Identification: Key Indicators & Intended Outcomes Checklist](#) – a tool intended to help Boards identify and evaluate Collaborative Applicants.
- [HUD Notice CPD-17-01: Coordinated Entry Notice](#) – outlines the required elements of CES design and implementation.
- [Coordinated Entry Management and Data Guide](#) – provides detailed technical guidance on data collection, assessment, and referral standards.
- [HMIS System Administrator Checklist](#) – outlines the key responsibilities and general skill sets required of an HMIS Administrator.
- [HUD HMIS Data Standards](#) – establishes data collection, privacy, and reporting requirements for all CoCs.

1. Collaborative Applicant

The Collaborative Applicant is the entity designated by the CoC Board to:

- Prepare and submit the community’s annual Consolidated Application in response to HUD’s CoC Program NOFO, including supporting the CoC Board in identifying priorities, working with the HMIS lead to obtain needed performance data, supporting the project rating and ranking process, and drafting the narrative sections of the application.
- Provide backbone staffing to the CoC Board and committees, including project management, administrative support, policy development, facilitation of cross-committee coordination, and capacity-building for members.
- Execute system-level strategic planning, performance monitoring, compliance oversight, and progress reporting – ensuring alignment with both federal requirements and local priorities.
- Coordinate production of HUD-required reports (e.g., Point-in-Time Count Housing Inventory Count, System Performance Measures) in collaboration with the HMIS Lead and CES Lead(s).
- Support community engagement and meaningful inclusion of people with lived experience.
- Maintain all CoC records and documentation, including membership records, policy and procedure documents, governance documentation, etc.
- As resources allow, coordinate systemwide advocacy efforts, lead systemwide fund development/fund diversification efforts, and serve as a central administrator of pooled resources.

The Collaborative Applicant must operate in full compliance with 24 CFR Part 578, HUD CoC Program guidance, and the CoC’s Governance Charter, policies, and procedures. HUD requirements define the minimum scope of this role, but the CoC Board envisions

the Collaborative Applicant as a central hub of strategic leadership, fundraising, and advocacy for the homelessness response system.

2. HMIS Lead

The HMIS Lead is the entity designated by the CoC Board to:

- Administer the CoC's HMIS in compliance with HUD's HMIS Data Standards, privacy and security rules, and technical requirements.
- Manage the HMIS software contract and ensure system performance, security, and reliability through regular audits, system monitoring, and risk management processes (including disaster recovery and continuity planning).
- Execute participation agreements with all contributing HMIS organizations (CHOs) and ensure consistent compliance with data quality, privacy, and security standards.
- Provide training, end-user support, and structured communication protocols (e.g., updates, feedback loops, satisfaction surveys) for CHOs and system users.
- Develop, implement, and annually update HUD-compliant Data Quality, Security, and Privacy Plans.
- Produce all HUD-required reports (e.g., Point-in-Time Count, Housing Inventory Count, System Performance Measures) and other analyses requested by the CoC Board and partners to support system planning, decision-making, and accountability.
- Collaborate with the Collaborative Applicant and CES Lead(s) to create dashboards and analytic tools for monitoring and active system management.
- Regularly assess emerging technologies, data mapping capabilities, geospatial analysis methods, and other innovations that could enhance the CoC's ability to monitor housing needs, system performance, and service coverage; advise the CoC Board on potential changes or enhancements for adoption.
- Administer HMIS grant funds, including budget development, match commitments, and grant reporting.

The HMIS Lead must operate in full compliance with HUD regulations, HUD *HMIS Data Standards*, and all CoC-adopted policies and procedures. While HUD requirements establish the foundation, the CoC Board expects the HMIS Lead to go beyond compliance—expanding into advanced analytics, real-time performance monitoring, and cross-system data integration to inform both strategic and operational decision-making.

3. CES Lead(s)

The CES Lead(s) are the entities designated by the CoC Board to:

- Oversee CES design and operations, ensuring the system is in compliance with all Federal requirements and local standards;

- Maintain equitable, standardized access, assessment, prioritization, and referral processes across the CoC’s geographic area.
- Develop, implement, and annually update CES operations manuals, prioritization protocols, and referral standards, subject to CoC Board approval.
- Coordinate with outreach, prevention, shelter, and housing programs to ensure seamless referrals and timely housing placements.
- Maintain and manage prioritization lists per CoC Board-approved standards, ensuring fidelity to prioritization policies.
- Partner with the HMIS Lead to ensure data quality and produce reports to monitor access, equity, and system flow.
- Engage people with lived experience, service providers, and mainstream systems (e.g., schools, health, justice) to continually improve CES operations.
- Administer CES grant funds, including budget development, match commitments, and HUD-required reporting.

CES Leads must operate in compliance with HUD’s coordinated entry requirements, technical standards, and CoC-adopted policies and procedures. HUD guidance sets the baseline, but the CoC Board expects the CES Lead(s) to serve as strategic system navigation and equity drivers—improving efficiency, strengthening customer service, integrating with mainstream systems, and ensuring that limited resources are used as effectively as possible to increase flow through the system.

VI. Questions for Response

Using the Response Template provided, respondents are asked to reply to the following questions. As outlined in Section I of this RFI, the CoC Board will be using the responses to develop its overall strategy for competing these roles, as well as the scope of work for each staffing entity.

Instructions for Category B (General Feedback) Respondents

Stakeholders who are **not** interested in serving as the Collaborative Applicant, HMIS Lead, or CES Lead are welcome to respond with ideas for strengthening these roles, improving coordination, or driving innovation. You may answer any questions where you have relevant insights or recommendations, skipping all others. Please mark the “General Feedback Only” box in the Response Template so the RFI/RFP Workgroup can appropriately sort and review your input.

A. General Questions (All Category A Respondents)

1. Which role(s) would your organization be interested in fulfilling: Collaborative Applicant, HMIS Lead, and/or CES Lead?
2. What are the benefits of having different entities fulfill each role? Conversely, what are the benefits of having a single entity fulfill two or all three roles?
3. Should the CoC re-compete these roles at the same time or in a phased manner? What are the benefits and risks of each approach?
4. If competed separately, which role(s) should be prioritized first, and why? What timing considerations should the CoC Board keep in mind?

B. Role-Specific Questions (Category A: Collaborative Applicant Candidates)

1. Describe your experience managing complex federal grant processes, including preparing competitive applications, administering funds, conducting monitoring and oversight, and ensuring timely and accurate reporting.
2. If your organization is interested in serving as Collaborative Applicant AND one or both other roles, how would you ensure effective oversight and monitoring of all functions housed under your organization?
3. What is your vision for how the Collaborative Applicant and CoC Board would work together to efficiently and effectively advance the priorities of the CoC? If selected as the Collaborative Applicant, how would your organization coordinate and align guidance, decisions, and priorities when accountable to both your own Board of Directors and the CoC Board?
4. What changes or improvements would you recommend to the CoC's local HUD NOFO competition process, if any? Are there more strategic ways the CoC could be using HUD dollars?
5. How would your organization approach oversight of CoC-funded providers to ensure compliance with HUD requirements, achievement of system performance benchmarks, and alignment with CoC Board-approved priorities?
6. How would you strengthen alignment between the CoC and other funding sources and systems (e.g., criminal legal system, behavioral health system, workforce development system)?
7. What strategies would you implement to ensure meaningful engagement of CoC members, including individuals with lived experience of homelessness, in decision-making?
8. If the Collaborative Applicant were tasked with leading fundraising and fund diversification efforts for the CoC, what strategies would you use to identify and

- pursue new funding opportunities? What additional staffing resources (FTEs and roles) would be required to support fund development efforts?
9. What are the pros and cons of having the Collaborative Applicant lead/organize advocacy on behalf of the CoC? What additional staffing resources (FTEs and roles) would be required to support systemwide advocacy efforts?
 10. If selected as the Collaborative Applicant, do you have access to large meeting spaces to accommodate CoC Board meetings, General Membership meetings, provider trainings, and other system-level events? Please describe.
 11. What would be your top priorities for the first 12 months in this role? For each, indicate whether it is cost neutral or would require additional resources, and estimate the resources needed.
 12. Has your organization undergone compliance monitoring by outside entities such as HUD or other government funding agencies? If yes, have there been any material findings in the past three years? If so, please describe the findings and corrective actions taken.

C. Role-Specific Questions (Category A: CES Lead Candidates)

1. Describe your experience designing, managing, or participating with coordinated entry systems, including compliance with HUD requirements and local written standards.
2. What are the benefits and drawbacks of having a single CES Lead for all populations (unaccompanied adults, families, unaccompanied youth)? Which approach is best for our CoC, and why?
3. The scope of CES Leads across different CoCs vary significantly based on the design of their CES system (e.g., central point of intake, no wrong door, hybrid approaches). What are the most important functions for the CES Lead(s) in Maricopa County?
4. How would your organization ensure that individuals and families across Maricopa County's full geographic area—including rural, remote, and underserved communities—can readily access the CES for assessment? In your response, please consider strategies related to outreach, access point coverage, partnerships, and accommodations for those facing transportation, language, or technology barriers.
5. What strategies would your organization use to ensure assessments are administered consistently and fairly across all access points and that prioritization protocols are applied equitably across regions and subpopulations? Please describe how you would monitor fidelity, address discrepancies, and make adjustments.

6. What changes or innovations would you introduce to increase the speed of referrals and lease-ups through CES?
7. What strategies would you use to ensure meaningful participation of people with lived experience in CE design and oversight, particularly reviewing and adapting assessment tools and procedures?
8. What would be your top priorities for the first 12 months in this role? For each, indicate whether it is cost neutral or would require additional resources, and estimate the resources needed.
9. Has your organization undergone compliance monitoring by outside entities such as HUD or other government funding agencies? If yes, have there been any material findings in the past three years? If so, please describe the findings and corrective actions taken.

D. Role-Specific Questions (Category A: HMIS Lead Candidates)

1. Describe your experience managing large-scale data systems, including compliance with federal data standards and security and privacy requirements.
2. How would you ensure effective partnerships with the Collaborative Applicant, the CES Lead(s), and service providers to ensure CoC partners have the data they need to effectively manage their programs and core functions?
3. What changes or enhancements would you recommend to improve data quality, coverage, integration, and overall utility for decision-making?
4. How would your organization design and deliver HMIS end-user training to ensure it is accessible to individuals with different learning styles (e.g., visual, auditory, hands-on) and available in real time as agency staff turnover occurs?
5. How would your organization evaluate and adopt emerging technologies—including advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and data visualization tools—to enhance HMIS functionality, improve decision-making, and streamline operations?
6. How would your organization foster a culture of continuous improvement and innovation within HMIS operations, ensuring the system evolves to meet the changing needs of the CoC and its stakeholders over the next 3–5 years?
7. What would be your top priorities for the first 12 months in this role? For each, indicate whether it is cost neutral or would require additional resources, and estimate the resources needed.
8. Has your organization undergone compliance monitoring by outside entities such as HUD or other government funding agencies? If yes, have there been any material findings in the past three years? If so, please describe the findings and corrective actions taken.

E. Financial Capacity (All Category A Respondents)

1. What is your organization's total annual operating budget for the current fiscal year, and what was your total annual operating budget the prior two fiscal years?
2. What is your organization's indirect cost rate?
3. Does your organization undergo an annual independent financial audit? If yes, have there been any material findings in the past three years? If yes, please describe the findings and the corrective actions taken.

F. Leveraging (All Category A Respondents)

1. Beyond HUD CoC resources designated to support the staffing roles, are there additional resources your organization could contribute to expand the scope, scale, or impact of the role(s) you wish to serve in? Examples may include: technology systems or infrastructure; non-HUD funding sources (e.g., flexible philanthropic grants, direct fundraising/event revenue); facilities or meeting space; other in-kind contributions (please explain).
2. Describe any unique partnerships or collaborations you have that could bring additional resources, capacity, or expertise to these roles.

G. Workforce Capacity, Readiness, and Stability (All Category A Respondents)

1. Describe your current staffing structure (or attach an organizational chart), outlining total employees and types of roles (leadership, policy/program management, direct service, administrative, etc.).
2. What has your staff turnover rate been over the past two fiscal years? What strategies does your organization use to retain staff, particularly in leadership and specialized roles?
3. What strategies and practices does your organization use to maintain institutional knowledge and ensure continuity of operations during periods of staff turnover?

H. Technology and Innovations (All Category A Respondents)

1. What emerging technologies, tools, or approaches could strengthen the CoC's operations over the next 3–5 years?
2. If selected for a CoC staffing role, what technology or innovation investments would you prioritize in the first year?

I. Transition Planning (All Category A Respondents)

1. Describe your capacity to quickly assume the role(s) for which you have expressed interest, including staffing readiness, knowledge transfer processes, and technology tools.

2. What transition planning steps should the CoC Board consider to support a smooth handoff if a new entity is selected?

J. Conflicts of Interest (All Category A Respondents)

1. Describe any conflicts of interest (real or perceived) that your agency may have in serving in the role(s) for which you have expressed interest.
2. Explain how you would prevent impaired objectivity and ensure impartiality in duties including (but not limited to) contracting/grant awards, monitoring, and administration of client assistance - including the use of firewalls or role separation, as applicable.
3. How would your agency ensure CoC Board and broader regional priorities over individual agency interests, if they were in conflict?

K. Adapting to Federal Priorities (All Category A Respondents)

1. How would your organization respond to significant changes in federal policy or funding (e.g., reduction in overall resources coming to Maricopa County, changes in where/how federal homeless assistance money flows, shifts in program priorities)?
2. What strategies would your organization recommend to help the CoC quickly diversify funding streams (federal, state, local, philanthropic, private) to reduce reliance on HUD resources, particularly for critical CoC programs and functions?
3. How would your organization balance the need to comply with evolving federal requirements while also safeguarding community-driven priorities?
4. Is there anything else you are thinking about related to the Federal landscape that you would like to share/recommend?

L. RFP Development (All Category A Respondents)

1. Are there specific scope of work requirements, questions for response, or evaluation criteria you recommend the CoC include in forthcoming RFPs that might not be considered by the Board? Please identify which role(s) your recommendations apply to and explain why these would be important to include.

Thank you for your interest in strengthening the Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care. All questions and submissions should follow the instructions provided in this RFI.