

MARICOPA REGIONAL CONTINUUM OF CARE (COC)

RANK, REVIEW, AND REALLOCATION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

OVERVIEW

The Rank and Review Process is used to review and evaluate all eligible Continuum of Care (CoC) project applications submitted in the local CoC Application competition, then rank them for submission, as part of the annual CoC Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO). This document outlines the Rank and Review Committee and CoC Board approval processes, as well as the process for the reallocation of project funds and the appeal protocol should this occur.

GENERAL PROCESS

PHASE I – SCORING, PRIORITIZATION AND RANKING, RANK AND REVIEW COMMITTEE (RRC), COLLABORATIVE APPLICANT ROLE

SCORECARDS AND SCORING

The Collaborative Applicant (MAG) annually updates scoring tools, often referred to as the “scorecard,” used by agencies to apply for the local portion of the NOFO and to aid the Rank and Review Committee (RRC) when reviewing renewal and new projects for the annual NOFO. Scoring tools are developed prior to the NOFO release each year, then finalized by the Collaborative Applicant and CoC Board once the NOFO is released and prior to the launch of the local application process.

RENEWAL PROJECTS SCORECARD

The scorecard for renewal projects is based on majority objective criteria as reported in the project’s Annual Performance Report for the most recent federal fiscal year, including use of CoC funds from their most recently closed grant and HMIS data. In addition, the CoC awards points for participation in the local Coordinated Entry and project monitoring findings. The scoring tool is updated annually to best reflect performance standards required by HUD and the Maricopa CoC and can be found on the [Maricopa CoC website](#).

Renewal projects that request consolidation will complete separate applications, be scored separately, then have scores averaged for the ranking of the consolidated project (the “surviving” grant). For the following year’s competition, only the surviving grant’s score will be considered. The Renewal HMIS and Coordinated Entry project types do not have scoring tools.

NEW PROJECTS SCORECARD

The scorecards for new projects consist mainly of narrative responses to questions that test the applicant's approach implementing the new project against HUD's and the CoC's objectives, as well as the applicant's commitment to implement the project in agreement with HUD and CoC standards. The scoring tools are updated annually to best reflect the performance standards required by HUD and the Maricopa CoC and can be found on the [Maricopa CoC website](#).

Expansion projects are evaluated using the new projects scorecard. The expansion component will be prioritized using the new projects prioritization criteria.

The renewal and new projects scorecards are included as Appendix A to this Policy and Procedure document.

PRIORITIZATION AND RANKING PROCEDURE

The CoC Board annually reviews data sources for community needs, gaps in the CoC program portfolio, NOFO limitations, and HUD priorities to make data-informed decisions on funding and project priorities. Prior to opening the local application, the CoC Board will establish priorities that will guide the Rank & Review Committee in their scoring and prioritizing of applications.

These priorities are made available to all applicants and are included in Appendix B to this Policy and Procedure document.

RANK AND REVIEW COMMITTEE (RRC)

The Collaborative Applicant convenes an RRC membership recruitment group to support the recruitment of non-conflicted RRC members for the upcoming NOFO. The RRC may include at least one non-conflicted provider (ideally a provider with experience administering federal, non-CoC grants), with a focus on having a diverse panel, geographic balance, and under-represented groups. To provide Board perspective and gain knowledge of the RRC process, one non-conflicted CoC Board member serves as a CoC Board liaison in the RRC. The Collaborative Applicant seeks membership consistency from year to year while balancing the need for a diverse panel. Members sign conflict of interest and confidentiality statements.

COLLABORATIVE APPLICANT

The Collaborative Applicant initiates the first phase of the application process, communicates expectations and deadlines to project applicants, and collects required materials. The Collaborative Applicant coordinates the collection of all reports and materials needed for the scorecards and coordinates the scoring and ranking process for renewal and new projects.

- HMIS, Coordinated Entry, and renewal housing projects without an APR are held harmless and need not submit any reports or materials for local scoring.

- Projects operated by Victim Service Providers or that do not use the community's HMIS because they serve survivors of domestic violence, human trafficking, or sexual assault submit data reports from the project's comparable database.

PHASE II – APPLICATION REVIEW

The following steps and processes take place following the release of the annual NOFO.

PROJECT APPLICATIONS

- All renewal project applicants and new agencies interested in applying are invited to attend a NOFO launch session. Public notice is sent to all agencies with renewal applications, the CoC general distribution list, local governments in the region, and posted on the Collaborative Applicant website. The public notice will seek renewal and new applications. Renewal, new, and expansion project application requirements, process, and timeline are explained through email communication, training sessions, and one-on-one assistance by the Collaborative Applicant, as needed.
- Applicants prepare and submit renewal and new project applications.

APPLICATION REVIEW AND SCORING BY COLLABORATIVE APPLICANT AND RRC

The following steps and processes take place following agency application submission to the Collaborative Applicant.

- The Collaborative Applicant finalizes the RRC membership.
- Collaborative Applicant staff ensures all new and renewal project applications pass **Threshold Review** (details below). The Collaborative Applicant completes a technical review of HUD e-snaps project applications for completeness and technical errors. Applicants are notified if technical corrections are needed and must complete technical corrections as directed.
- Renewal project applications are auto-scored on most of the objective criteria in the scorecards from HMIS or comparable databases, except narrative responses.
- The Collaborative Applicant compiles all new and renewal project application packets for the RRC review.
- The Collaborative Applicant trains RRC members and provides them with the applications to review.
- RRC members review new and renewal project application materials over a given time period dependent on the HUD NOFO timeline. They review and score new and renewal project applications for the narrative responses in the scorecards and applying the discretionary points, if available.

The following steps and processes take place during the convening of the RRC meetings.

- After reviewing applications individually, the RRC members meet to jointly discuss each new and renewal project application. This process includes conducting short, mandatory interviews with each project applicant in person or virtually. The purpose of the interview is to ask

standardized and potentially clarifying questions about the project(s) and/or application(s). Projects are awarded interview points, if available, based on their responses.

- At least one Collaborative Applicant representative attends the RRC meetings to staff the meetings, take notes, and act as a resource.

APPLICATION PRIORITIZATION BY COLLABORATIVE APPLICANT AND RRC

- The Collaborative Applicant and RRC follow the CoC Board approved **Prioritization and Ranking Procedure** and prepares a ranked list of projects for the RRC's review.
- The RRC reviews the prioritized list and has discretion of creating a 2nd prioritized list based on their analysis of the applications and community needs that surfaced and were not addressed by the Prioritization and Ranking Procedure.
- The RRC reviews the recommendation(s) with the CoC Board, articulates the pros, cons, and impact of the recommendation(s), and allows for explanation, questions, and meaningful dialogue between the members of the RRC and the CoC Board.
- The CoC Board considers the recommendation(s) presented, makes documented changes, and approves a rank order of new, expansion, consolidated, and renewal projects for the **Priority Listing**, part of the CoC Consolidated Application for submission to HUD. CoC Board members that have an application for funding must recuse themselves from the discussion and vote and are asked to follow the same process as other project applicants.
- The Collaborative Applicant emails the CoC Board's ranking decision to applicants with a reminder of the appeals process. Only projects receiving less funding than they applied for or that are placed in Tier II may appeal, and only on the basis of fact, as described in the **Appeals Process** below. Any project eligible to appeal receives a complete breakdown of scores awarded for each factor as well as a complete list of the recommended project ranks and scores. A non-conflicted work group of the CoC Board hears appeals. To provide information and support, the Collaborative Applicant and at least one member of the RRC attend the Appeal Panel to provide information but are not members of the Appeal Panel and do not have a vote.
- If applicable, the CoC Board meets to consider the ranked list generated by the appeals process and to approve a final rank order for the **Priority Listing**, part of the CoC Consolidated Application for submission to HUD.

PHASE III – EMERGENCY PROCEDURE

Collaborative Applicant staff does everything possible to ensure that an application is submitted to HUD for the entire funding available to the community. Therefore, if/when all on-time applications have been submitted and it appears that the community is not requesting as much money as is available from HUD, the Collaborative Applicant staff may solicit additional applications on a shortened new project application schedule. In addition, if, after the RRC has reviewed applications and made priority determinations, an applicant decides not to submit their application to HUD, Collaborative Applicant

staff may solicit and submit further new project applications to meet the full available funding amount. Collaborative Applicant staff ensures all project applications submitted as part of the Collaborative Application under the emergency procedure pass the Threshold Review. Projects submitted under the Emergency Procedure follow the **Application Review and Scoring** and the **Application Prioritization** procedures.

PROJECTS THRESHOLD REVIEW

In addition to the scoring criteria, all projects must meet several threshold criteria. A threshold review takes place prior to the Prioritization and Ranking process to ensure baseline requirements are met. The following thresholds apply to all new and renewal projects. If threshold criteria are not met, the RRC is notified to determine severity of non-compliance with threshold criteria.

- A project must participate or agree to participate in the Coordinated Entry system to the capacity the Coordinated Entry system is built out in the community.
- A project must meet applicable HUD match requirements (25% for all grant funds except leasing).
- All proposed program participants are eligible for the program component type selected.
- The information provided in the project application and proposed activities are eligible and consistent with program requirements in 24 CFR part 578.
- The project narrative is fully responsive to the question being asked and meets all criteria for that question, as required by the NOFO.
- The project affirms compliance with all HUD required mandates included in the NOFO
- The project submits a completed Threshold Assessment Checklist that shows the agency has a passing score.
- Data provided in the application are consistent.

REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS CORRESPOND TO THE LIST OF ATTACHMENTS IN E-SNAPS AND MUST CONTAIN ACCURATE AND COMPLETE INFORMATION. LATE APPLICATIONS

Neither Renewal nor New applications received after the deadline are considered for review.

PROJECTS REALLOCATION OR REDUCTION

It is possible that funds are reallocated during the NOFO process from projects that no longer wish to operate projects, will not receive renewal funding, or whose funding is being reduced. The reduction or removal of funding is a recommendation made by the RRC and approved by the CoC Board, based on project performance, HUD priorities and CoC Board priorities. The reallocated funds are added to the available funds for new projects and expanded projects.

When considering reallocation, the RRC may consider:

1. Unspent funds and the ability to remove funding without cutting service/housing levels.
 - RRC members receive guidance about the limitations related to spending CoC funds.
 - For projects receiving leasing or rental assistance, information about unspent funds is presented together with information about agency capacity (serving the number of people the project is designed to serve) or contractual commitment (serving the number of people the project is contracted to serve under the CoC grant).
2. Renewal projects with consistently low scores.
 - Scrutiny is given to renewal projects that score 10% or more under the overall median score for the renewal projects.
3. Alternative funding sources available to support renewal project(s) at-risk of not being funded.
4. Misalignment or alignment with the Maricopa CoC system needs that impacts the decision of preserving or reallocating the project.
5. Non-compliance issues identified during the Prioritization and Ranking process.

The impact of this policy is that both high-scoring and low-scoring projects may be reallocated if these considerations warrant that decision.

APPEALS PROCESS

The RRC reviews all applications and ranks them for funding recommendations for approval by the CoC Board to be submitted to HUD for funding consideration. The CoC Board's funding recommendation decision is communicated to all applicants by email within 24 hours of the determination. All applicants are hereby directed to contact the Collaborative Applicant staff if no email notice is received.

WHO MAY APPEAL:

An agency may appeal an "appealable ranking decision," defined in the next paragraph, made by the RRC concerning a project application submitted by that agency. If the project was submitted by a collaboration of agencies, only one joint appeal may be made.

WHAT MAY BE APPEALED:

"An appealable ranking decision" is a decision by the RRC that:

- Reduces the budget to a lower amount than applied for;
- Ranks the project in Tier 2, or;
- Recommends the project for reallocation (project is defunded).

SCOPE OF APPEAL:

The main questions for the Appeals Panel are:

- Was the review process followed consistently?
- Were all applicants evaluated in a similar manner?
- Did the RRC or the CoC Board make an error?

Disagreement with discretionary points allocations is not grounds for appeal. The RRC ensures that discretionary points are applied consistently across projects. If an error was made by the RRC or the CoC Board, or applications were not reviewed according to the same process, then an appeal may have merit, and an appeal hearing may be granted.

An appeal does not have merit if the agency interprets the information differently or if they provide additional information after the application deadline and/or CoC Board decision.

The Formal Appeal must be emailed to the Collaborative Applicant staff within 48 hours of the CoC Board funding decision (time countdown begins on the time listed on the agenda when the CoC Board meeting ends) using the **CoC Board Prioritization and Ranking Appeal Form**.

If an appeal is filed, other agencies whose rank may be affected are notified as a courtesy through email. Such agencies cannot file an appeal after the appeals process is complete. They may file an appeal within the original appeals timeline, using the process described above.

If the appeal hearing is not granted, the project remains on the project listing as initially approved by the CoC Board.

If the appeal hearing is granted, a three-member non-conflicted Appeal Panel is selected from the CoC Board. These individuals have no conflict of interest in serving, as defined by the existing RRC conflict of interest rules. Voting members of the Appeal Panel shall not serve simultaneously on the RRC; however, an RRC member and Collaborative Applicant staff participate in the Appeal Panel to inform the discussion.

The Appeal Panel reviews the written appeal for merit within 48 hours (or 2 business days if time allows) of the submission of the Formal Appeal. If the Appeal Panel believes there is merit to the appeal based on facts, then an appeal meeting is conducted either in person or virtually with the representative(s) of the agency who filed the appeal within 48 hours (or 2 business days if time allows) of the merit decision. The Appeal Panel deliberates in a closed meeting and informs the appealing agency of their decision on the same day.

If the hearing and appeal are granted, the CoC Board approves the **final Priority Listing** part of the CoC Consolidated Application for submission to HUD. If an appeal meeting is not held, the original Priority Listing is upheld. The decision of the CoC Board is final. Final decisions for projects being rejected, reallocated, or reduced and the reason(s) for the rejection, reallocation, or reduction are communicated in writing and outside of e-snaps no later than 15 days prior to the NOFO application deadline.

CONSOLIDATED APPLICATION APPROVAL AND SUBMISSION

- The following steps and processes take place once the Collaborative Applicant has finalized the Consolidated Application. The CoC Board meets to approve the Consolidated Application prior to submittal.
- The Consolidated Application is made available to community for inspection on the Collaborative Applicant's website at least two days prior to the NOFO application deadline.
- Collaborative Applicant staff submits the Consolidated Application to HUD prior to the NOFO application deadline.
- Stakeholders are advised that the application has been submitted.
- Project applicants have an opportunity to debrief their scores with Collaborative Applicant staff. All applicants are welcome to request a debriefing and receive a complete breakdown of their scores within 30 days of submission of the Consolidated Application.

APPENDIX A – SCORECARDS

FY 2026 NEW PSH PROJECT SCORECARD

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
A.1	Project Eligibility	MAG Staff	Narrative	--
A.2	Project Summary	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.3	Population Served	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.4	Supportive Services	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.5	Services Participation Requirement	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.6	Client Employment Income at Exit	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.7	Returns to Homelessness	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.8	Mainstream Benefits	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.9	Reasonable Budget	Rank & Review	File	4
A.10	Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.11	Promoting Recovery (partnerships)	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
A.12	Promoting Recovery (on-site treatment)	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				47

PART B: PROJECT/AGENCY EXPERIENCE

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
B.1	Housing Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.2	Partnerships	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.3	Financial Capacity	Rank & Review	Narrative & File	3
				9

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
C.1	Lived Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.2	Data and HMIS	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.3	CE Participation	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				9

PART D: INTERVIEW

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
D	Interview Question(s)	Rank & Review	Interview Response	5

PART E: BONUS POINTS

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
E	Substance use treatment engagement	Rank & Review	Narrative	3

Total Score: 70

Objective Criteria: 65

Objective Rating: 93%

Performance Points: 18

Performance Rating: 26%

FY 2026 NEW PSH PROJECT APPLICATION

PART A: DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

A.1. Project Eligibility: Please submit your draft HUD e-snaps application to demonstrate that your project operates under the CoC Project eligible costs under 24 CFR § 578.37. Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your supportive service agreement. Attach your completed Threshold Assessment Checklist.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Draft HUD e-snaps Application, Attachments	<u>Scoring:</u> n/a
A.2 Project Summary: Please provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project, including the type and scale of assistance to be offered (and the funding source or partnership of each). Include the number and configuration of units and describe how they fit the needs of the program participants.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (800-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive description of the proposed project + 1 for description of how the units fit the needs of participants + 1 for describing the type of housing and the units proposed 3 Points Total
A.3 Population Served: Please describe your agency's (or subrecipient's) experience working with the proposed population. Confirm your project will serve homeless individuals or families with a disability. Discuss if your project will prioritize specifically people over 62 years old, and/or physically or developmentally disabled people.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive demonstration of experience with the population + 1 for confirming the project will serve homeless individuals or families with a disability +1 for prioritizing people over 62, and/or physically or developmentally disabled 3 Points Total
A.4 Supportive Services: Please describe how the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services and assistance that will ensure that the participants are able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing and in a manner that fits their needs (e.g. transportation, safety planning, enhanced case management). If the agency is proposing to expand an existing PH project, it must demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to project participants, including where appropriate, on-site supportive services.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing plans to provide services to assist participants to obtain housing + 2 for describing plans to provide services to assist participants to maintain housing 4 Points Total
A.5 Services Participation Requirement: Please describe your project's approach to require project participants to participate in services by contract, occupancy agreement, or lease. Attach your supportive service agreement. Participation requirements must be in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h).	

Approved on 6/29/2026

Examples of services include case management, employment assistance and job training, life skills training, and substance use disorder treatment.

Required Submissions:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

- + 2 for describing the approach to require participants to participate in services described above
- + 2 for attaching a compliant supportive services agreement
- + 2 for the quality of the supportive services agreement

6 Points Total

A.6 Client Employment Income at Exit: *Please describe how your project will help participants secure employment to increase their earned income.*

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

- + 2 for describing plans to increase participants' employment income at exit
- + 2 for describing process and experience helping participants secure employment
- + 2 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric

6 Points Total

A.7 Returns to Homelessness: *Please describe how your project will help participants not reenter homelessness after their successful exit from the project.*

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

- + 3 for describing plans to help participants not reenter homelessness after a successful project exit.
- + 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric

6 Points Total

A.8 Mainstream Benefits: *Please describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources and participants assisted in obtaining mainstream health, social and employment benefits (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, Medicare, Medicaid, etc.), and how the project will coordinate the provision of mainstream benefits.*

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

- + 2 for describing how participants are assisted to obtain mainstream benefits they are eligible for. Detail the partnerships you have established to assist participants with connection to these benefits.
- + 2 for describing how the project will be supplemented by other public or private sources, which public and private sources and projected amounts

4 Points Total

A.9 Reasonable Budget: *Please submit a completed Budget Chart Form (Appendix B) that matches the summary budget in Question 6J of the draft HUD e-snaps application that demonstrates the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable.*

Required Submission:

Budget Chart Form

Scoring:

- + 2 for completeness and accuracy of the budget
- + 2 for reasonableness of cost per household served

4 Points Total

A.10 Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments: *Please describe your project's approach to promote recovery and commitment to not distribute drug paraphernalia or knowingly permit the use and distribution of fatal, illicit drugs on the property. Confirm the project will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of*

Approved on 6/29/2026

property under your control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under your control, or conduct, permit, encourage or allow any of these activities under the pretext of “harm reduction.” This is not a requirement to condition assistance on sobriety or treatment. Acknowledge and certify you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination.

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 1 for project’s agreement to not operate the above stated sites, and not distribute or permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on the property under your control
+ 1 for project’s commitment to recovery
+ 1 for your acknowledgement and certification you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination

3 Points Total

A.11 Promoting Recovery:

- *Identify any partnerships you have with providers and entities providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.*
- *Describe how the project identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as ACT teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.*
- *Describe any partnerships you have with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.*
- *Describe how you partner with or provide access to peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.*
- *Identify any partnerships you have with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) or Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GHBI) provider, or a similar facility;*

Required Submission:

Narrative (500-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 1 for partnerships in connection with Drug Courts, specialty courts, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.
+ 1 for describing how you identify individuals experiencing SMI and connect them with the services they need
+ 1 for describing partnerships with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders
+ 1 for describing the partnership with or provide access to peer recovery specialists
+ 1 for describing the partnership with a CCBHC, CMHC, PATH provider, GHBI provider, or a similar facility

5 Points Total

A.12 Promoting Recovery: *Please describe your project’s availability of on-site substance use treatment and if so, the partnership you have with a treatment provider.*

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 2 for project’s availability of on-site substance use treatment
+ 1 for describing the partnership and naming the treatment provider

3 Points Total

PART B: EXPERIENCE

B.1 Housing Experience: Please describe your agency's (or subrecipient's) experience in providing housing similar to the intervention proposed in the application. If you do not have experience with the intervention, explain how you will supplement the lack of experience through partnerships.		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 for comprehensive demonstration of experience 3 Points Total	
B.2 Partnerships: Please describe your project's approach integrating healthcare, faith-based and other partnership types into the provision of services and housing (e.g. partnerships with primary healthcare, on-site and off-site substance use disorder treatment, mental health treatment, workforce development, faith-based and other partnership types) and the additional resources (\$s) these partnerships bring to the project (demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match). Attach MOUs/agreements to demonstrate these partnerships.		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for describing the agency's approach and experience partnering with the entities described above + 2 for describing the resources (\$s and % of project budget, minimum 25%) these partnerships bring to the project including MOUs/ agreements to demonstrate these partnerships 3 Points Total	
B.3 Financial Audit: Please submit your agency's most recent financial audit and management letter or internal audit (if no financial audit is available) OR provide an explanation as to why there has not been an audit. If your audit indicates any findings or concerns, please provide documentation that those findings have been resolved, or the agency has attempted to resolve them. Describe your experience with other federal funding sources. Describe your ability to manage federal compliance requirements, including 2 CFR 200 and 24 CFR 578 (provide examples of good standing on external monitoring).		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Financial audit or internal audit and management letter; documentation of resolution or attempts to resolve Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring (w/ Findings):</u> + 1 steps taken to resolve findings from most recent audit or internal audit + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total	<u>Scoring (No Findings):</u> + 1 for audit with no findings + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

C.1 Lived Experience: Describe how your agency takes proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit) Documentation	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees + 1 for incorporating lived experience in project design 3 Points Total
C.2 Data and HMIS: Please describe your agency's knowledge of the HMIS. Please explain the project's commitment to collecting accurate data, reviewing it to evaluate participant outcomes and upholding required HMIS data quality standards.	

<u>Required Submission:</u> <i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for knowledge, or active involvement, with the Maricopa HMIS + 1 for describing the project data evaluation and review approach + 1 for upholding required HMIS data quality standards 3 Points Total
<i>C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Participation:</i> Please describe your agency's knowledge of the Coordinated Entry. Then, explain the project's commitment to ensuring 100% of people enrolled are referred through the Family and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> <i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for knowledge, or active involvement, with Coordinated Entry + 1 for describing how you will ensure 100% participant enrollment through the CES 3 Points Total

PART D: INTERVIEW

This section will be conducted with the Rank & Review Committee following the submission of your application. The questions to be asked will be selected by the CoC Board and distributed prior to your interview.

PART E: BONUS POINTS

Bonus points may be awarded based on the criteria below. However, bonus points cannot increase an application's final score above the maximum Total Score established for this application.

<i>E.1 Substance use treatment engagement:</i> Bonus points are awarded if CoC-funded units require participant engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued participation. Please state your level of commitment to participants' required engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued program participation.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> <i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 100% of the units require participant engagement + 2 At least 50% of the units require participant engagement 3 Points Max

FY 2026 NEW RRH PROJECT SCORECARD

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
A.1	Project Eligibility	MAG Staff	Narrative	--
A.2	Project Summary	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.3	Population Served	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.4	Supportive Services	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.5	Services Participation Requirement	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.6	Client Employment Income at Exit	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.7	Returns to Homelessness	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.8	Mainstream Benefits	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.9	Reasonable Budget	Rank & Review	File	4
A.10	Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.11	Promoting Recovery (partnerships)	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
A.12	Promoting Recovery (on-site treatment)	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				47

PART B: PROJECT/AGENCY EXPERIENCE

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
B.1	Housing Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.2	Partnerships	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.3	Financial Capacity	Rank & Review	Narrative & File	3
				9

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
C.1	Lived Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.2	Data and HMIS	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.3	CE Participation	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				9

PART D: INTERVIEW

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
D	Interview Question(s)	Rank & Review	Interview Response	5

PART E: BONUS POINTS

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
E	Substance use treatment engagement	Rank & Review	Narrative	3

Total Score: 70

Objective Criteria: 65

Objective Rating: 93%

Performance Points: 18

Performance Rating: 26%

FY 2026 NEW RRH PROJECT APPLICATION

PART A: DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

A.1. Project Eligibility: Please submit your draft HUD e-snaps application to demonstrate that your project operates under the CoC Project eligible costs under 24 CFR § 578.37. Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your supportive service agreement. Attach your completed Threshold Assessment Checklist.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Draft HUD e-snaps Application, Attachments	<u>Scoring:</u> n/a
A.2 Project Summary: Please provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project, including the type and scale of all assistance to be offered (and the funding source or partnership of each). Describe how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (800-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive description of the proposed project + 2 for describing how the provision of rental assistance will help participants achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months 3 Points Total
A.3 Population Served: Please describe your agency's (or subrecipient's) experience working with the proposed population. Please describe your experience or plans to have at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive demonstration of experience with the population + 1 experience or plans to have at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months + 1 experience or plans to have at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income. 3 Points Total
A.4 Supportive Services: Please describe how the project will provide and/or partner with other organizations to provide eligible supportive services and assistance that will ensure that the participants are able to successfully obtain self-sufficiency and exit homelessness (e.g. case management, substance use treatment, mental health treatment, and employment assistance). If the agency is proposing to expand an existing PH project, it must demonstrate how they are expanding supportive services to project participants.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing plans to provide services to assist participants to obtain self-sufficiency + 2 for describing plans to provide services to assist participants to successfully exit homelessness 4 Points Total
A.5 Services Participation Requirement: Please describe your project's approach to require project participants to participate in services by contract, occupancy agreement, or lease. Attach your supportive service agreement. Participation requirements must be in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h). Examples of services include case management, employment assistance and job training, life skills training, and substance abuse treatment.	

Approved on 6/29/2026

<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the approach to require participants to participate in services described above + 2 for attaching a compliant supportive services agreement + 2 for the quality of the supportive services agreement 6 Points Total
A.6 Client Employment Income at Exit: Please describe how your project will help participants secure employment to increase their earned income.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing plans to increase participants' employment income at exit + 2 for describing process and experience helping participants secure employment + 2 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
A.7 Returns to Homelessness: Please describe how your project will help participants not reenter homelessness after their successful exit from the project.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 for describing plans to help participants not reenter homelessness after project exit. + 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
A.8 Mainstream Benefits: Please describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources and participants assisted in obtaining mainstream health, social and employment benefits (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, Medicare, Medicaid, etc.), and how the project will coordinate the provision of mainstream benefits.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing how participants are assisted to obtain mainstream benefits they are eligible for. Detail the partnerships you have established to assist participants with connection to these benefits. + 2 for describing how the project will be supplemented by other public or private sources, which public and private sources and projected amounts 4 Points Total
A.9 Reasonable Budget: Please submit a completed Budget Chart Form (Appendix B) that matches the summary budget in Question 6J of the draft HUD e-snaps application that demonstrated the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Budget Chart Form	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for completeness and accuracy of the budget + 2 for reasonableness of cost per household served 4 Points Total
A.10 Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments: Please describe your project's approach to promote recovery and commitment to not distribute drug paraphernalia or knowingly permit the use and distribution of fatal, illicit drugs on the property. Confirm the project will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under your control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under your control, or conduct, permit, encourage or allow any of these activities under the pretext of "harm	

reduction.” This is not a requirement to condition assistance on sobriety or treatment. Acknowledge and certify you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination.

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 1 for project’s agreement to not operate the above stated sites, and not distribute or permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on the property under your control
+ 1 for project’s commitment to recovery
+ 1 for your acknowledgement and certification you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination

3 Points Total

A.11 Promoting Recovery:

- *Identify any partnerships you have with providers and entities providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.*
- *Describe how the project identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as ACT teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.*
- *Describe any partnerships you have with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.*
- *Describe how you partner with or provide access to peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.*
- *Identify any partnerships you have with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) or Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GHBI) provider, or a similar facility;*

Required Submission:

Narrative (500-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 1 for partnerships in connection with Drug Courts, specialty courts, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.
+ 1 for describing how you identify individuals experiencing SMI and connect them with the services they need
+ 1 for describing partnerships with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders
+ 1 for describing the partnership with or provide access to peer recovery specialists
+ 1 for describing the partnership with a CCBHC, CMHC, PATH provider, GHBI provider, or a similar facility

5 Points Total

A.12 Promoting Recovery: *Please describe your project’s availability of on-site substance use treatment and if so, the partnership you have with a treatment provider.*

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 2 for project’s availability of on-site substance use treatment
+ 1 for describing the partnership and naming the treatment provider

3 Points Total

PART B: EXPERIENCE

B.1 Housing Experience: Please describe your agency's (or subrecipient's) experience in providing housing similar to the intervention proposed in the application. If you do not have experience with the intervention, explain how you will supplement the lack of experience through partnerships.		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 for comprehensive demonstration of experience 3 Points Total	
B.2 Partnerships: Please describe your project's approach integrating healthcare, faith-based and other partnership types into the provision of services and housing (e.g. partnerships with primary healthcare, on-site and off-site substance use disorder treatment, mental health treatment, workforce development, faith-based and other partnership types) and the additional resources (\$s) these partnerships bring to the project (demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match). Attach MOUs/agreements to demonstrate these partnerships.		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for describing the agency's approach and experience partnering with the entities described above + 2 for describing the resources (\$s and % of project budget, minimum 25%) these partnerships bring to the project including MOUs/ agreements to demonstrate these partnerships 3 Points Total	
B.3 Financial Capacity: Please submit your agency's most recent financial audit and management letter or internal audit (if no financial audit is available) OR provide an explanation as to why there has not been an audit. If your audit indicates any findings or concerns, please provide documentation that those findings have been resolved, or the agency has attempted to resolve them. Describe your experience with other federal funding sources. Describe your ability to manage federal compliance requirements, including 2 CFR 200 and 24 CFR 578 (provide examples of good standing on external monitoring).		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Financial audit or internal audit and management letter; documentation of resolution or attempts to resolve Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring (w/ Findings):</u> + 1 steps taken to resolve findings from most recent audit or internal audit + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total	<u>Scoring (No Findings):</u> + 1 for audit with no findings + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

C.1 Lived Experience: Describe how your agency takes proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit) Documentation	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees + 1 for incorporating lived experience in project design 3 Points Total
C.2 Data and HMIS: Please describe your agency's knowledge of the HMIS. Please explain the project's commitment to collecting accurate data, reviewing it to evaluate participant outcomes and upholding required HMIS data quality standards.	

<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for knowledge, or active involvement, with the Maricopa HMIS + 1 for describing the project data evaluation and review approach + 1 for upholding required HMIS data quality standards 3 Points Total
<i>C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Participation:</i> Please describe your agency's knowledge of the Coordinated Entry. Then, explain the project's commitment to ensuring 100% of people enrolled are referred through the Family and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for knowledge, or active involvement, with Coordinated Entry + 1 for describing how you will ensure 100% participant enrollment through the CES 3 Points Total

PART D: INTERVIEW

This section will be conducted with the Rank & Review Committee following the submission of your application. The questions to be asked will be selected by the CoC Board and distributed prior to your interview.

PART E: BONUS POINTS

Bonus points may be awarded based on the criteria below. However, bonus points cannot increase an application's final score above the maximum Total Score established for this application.

<i>E.1 Substance use treatment engagement:</i> Bonus points are awarded if CoC-funded units require participant engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued participation. Please state your level of commitment to participants' required engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued program participation.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 100% of the units require participant engagement + 2 At least 50% of the units require participant engagement 3 Points Max

**FY 2026 NEW SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY (SSO) COORDINATED ENTRY PROJECT
SCORECARD
(EXCEPT SSO OUTREACH, STANDALONE AND HMIS)**

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
A.1	Project Eligibility	MAG Staff	Narrative	--
A.2	Project Summary	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.3	CE Accessibility	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
A.4	CE Advertising	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.5	CE Referrals	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.6	Diversion Rate	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.7	Assessment Rate	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.8	Returns to Homelessness	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.9	Reasonable Budget	Rank & Review	File	4
A.10	Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.11	Promoting Recovery (partnerships)	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
				46

PART B: PROJECT/AGENCY EXPERIENCE

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
B.1	Experience with Services	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
B.2	Partnerships	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.3	Financial Capacity	Rank & Review	Narrative & File	3
				10

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
C.1	Lived Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.2	Data and HMIS	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.3	CE Participation	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				9

PART D: INTERVIEW

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
D	Interview Question(s)	Rank & Review	Interview Response	5

Total Score: 70

Objective Criteria: 65

Objective Rating: 93%

Performance Points: 18

Performance Rating: 26%

FY 2026 NEW SSO COORDINATED ENTRY PROJECT APPLICATION

PART A: DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

A.1 Project Eligibility: Please submit your draft HUD e-snaps application to demonstrate that your project operates under the CoC Project eligible costs under 24 CFR § 578.37 . Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your completed Threshold Assessment Checklist.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Draft HUD e-snaps Application, Attachments	<u>Scoring:</u> n/a
A.2 Project Summary: Please provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project, including the type and scale of all assistance to be offered (and the funding source or partnership of each).	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (800-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive description of the proposed project + 2 for describing the type and scale of all assistance to be offered 3 Points Total
A.3 CE Accessibility: Describe the CE's availability for all persons within the CoC's geographic area who are seeking homelessness assistance. Describe how the CE is easily available and reachable by all persons. Describe how the CE is accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC's geographic area.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for describing the CE's availability for all persons + 2 for describing how the CE is available and reachable by all persons + 2 for describing how the CE is accessible for persons with disabilities 5 Points Total
A.4 CE Advertising: Please describe your project's strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the project's advertising strategy + 2 for describing how the advertising strategy is designed specifically to reach households experiencing homelessness with the highest needs. 4 Points Total
A.5 CE Referrals: Please describe how your project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing how your project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate housing that fit their needs + 2 for describing how your project will ensure program participants are directed to appropriate services that fit their needs 4 Points Total

Approved on 6/29/2026

A.6 Diversion Rate: Please describe how your project will help participants not become homeless by successfully diverting them from entering a crisis response program.

<u>Required Submission:</u>	<u>Scoring:</u>
Narrative (300-word limit)	+ 3 for describing diversion plans to help participants not become homeless. + 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric (if there is no set performance standard, project the estimated diversion rate you will achieve)
	6 Points Total

A.7 Assessment Rate: Please describe how your project will help participants receive a timely, standardized, comprehensive and accurate housing needs assessment. Please describe the project's standardized assessment process.

<u>Required Submission:</u>	<u>Scoring:</u>
Narrative (300-word limit)	+ 3 for describing the standardized assessment process and plans to help participants receive a timely, comprehensive and accurate housing needs assessment. + 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric (if there is no set performance standard, project the estimated assessment rate you will achieve for the eligible population(s) served)
	6 Points Total

A.8 Returns to Homelessness: Please describe how your project will help participants not reenter homelessness after their successful referral and placement in a housing project.

<u>Required Submission:</u>	<u>Scoring:</u>
Narrative (300-word limit)	+ 3 for describing plans to help participants not reenter homelessness after a successful referral and placement in a housing project. + 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric (if there is no set performance standard, project the estimated return to homelessness rate for the eligible population(s) served – the population successfully referred and placed in housing projects)
	6 Points Total

A.9 Reasonable Budget: Please submit a completed Budget Chart Form (Appendix B) that matches the summary budget in Question 6J of the draft HUD e-snaps application that demonstrated the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable.

<u>Required Submission:</u>	<u>Scoring:</u>
Budget Chart Form	+ 2 for completeness and accuracy of the budget + 2 for reasonableness of costs per household served
	4 Points Total

A.10 Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments: Please describe your project's approach to promote recovery and commitment to not distribute drug paraphernalia or knowingly permit the use and distribution of fatal, illicit drugs. Confirm the project will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia, or conduct, permit, encourage or allow any of these activities under the pretext of "harm reduction." This is not a requirement to condition assistance on sobriety or treatment. Acknowledge and certify you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination.

<u>Required Submission:</u>	<u>Scoring:</u>
------------------------------------	------------------------

<i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	+ 1 for project's agreement to not distribute or permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs or drug paraphernalia part of the program + 1 for project's commitment to recovery + 1 for your acknowledgement and certification you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination 3 Points Total
A.11 Promoting Recovery: • <i>Identify any partnerships you have with providers and entities providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.</i> • <i>Describe how the project identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as ACT teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.</i> • <i>Describe any partnerships you have with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.</i> • <i>Describe how you partner with or provide access to peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.</i> • <i>Identify any partnerships you have with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) or Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GHBI) provider, or a similar facility;</i>	
<u>Required Submission:</u> <i>Narrative (500-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for partnerships in connection with Drug Courts, specialty courts, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment. + 1 for describing how you identify individuals experiencing SMI and connect them with the services they need + 1 for describing partnerships with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders + 1 for describing the partnership with or provide access to peer recovery specialists + 1 for describing the partnership with a CCBHC, CMHC, PATH provider, GHBI provider, or a similar facility 5 Points Total

PART B: EXPERIENCE

B.1 Experience with Services: <i>Describe the experience of your agency and your subrecipients (if any) in providing services similar to those proposed in the application. If you and your subrecipients (if any) do not have experience providing similar services, explain how you will supplement the lack of experience through partnership with other organizations.</i>	
<u>Required Submission:</u> <i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the successful work connecting people with services and housing they need + 2 for describing the experience or partnerships providing supportive services

Approved on 6/29/2026

		4 Points Total	
B.2 Partnerships: Please describe your project’s approach integrating healthcare, faith-based and other partnership types into the provision of services and engagement (e.g., partnerships with primary healthcare, substance use disorder treatment, mental health treatment, workforce development, faith-based and other partnership types) and the additional resources (\$s) these partnerships bring to the project (demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match). Attach MOUs/agreements to demonstrate these partnerships.			
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)		<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for describing the agency’s approach and experience partnering with the entities described above + 2 for describing the resources (\$s and % of project budget, minimum 25%) these partnerships bring to the project including MOUs/ agreements to demonstrate these partnerships	
		3 Points Total	
B.3 Financial Capacity: Please submit your agency’s most recent financial audit and management letter or internal audit (if no financial audit is available) OR provide an explanation as to why there has not been an audit. If your audit indicates any findings or concerns, please provide documentation that those findings have been resolved, or the agency has attempted to resolve them. Describe your experience with other federal funding sources. Describe your ability to manage federal compliance requirements, including 2 CFR 200 and 24 CFR 578 (provide examples of good standing on external monitoring).			
<u>Required Submission:</u> Financial audit or internal audit and management letter; documentation of resolution or attempts to resolve Narrative (300-word limit)		<u>Scoring (w/ Findings):</u> + 1 steps taken to resolve findings from most recent audit or internal audit + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements	<u>Scoring (No Findings):</u> + 1 for audit with no findings + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements
		3 Points Total	3 Points Total

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

C.1 Lived Experience: Describe how your agency will take proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.	
Required Submissions: Narrative (300-word limit) Documentation	Scoring: + 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees + 1 for incorporating lived experience in project design 3 Points Total
C.2 Data and HMIS: Please describe your agency's knowledge of the HMIS. Please explain the project's commitment to collecting accurate data, reviewing it to evaluate participant outcomes and upholding required HMIS data quality standards.	
Required Submission: Narrative (300-word limit)	Scoring: + 1 for knowledge, or active involvement, with the Maricopa HMIS + 1 for describing the project's data evaluation and review + 1 for upholding required HMIS data quality standards

	3 Points Total
C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Participation: <i>Please describe your agency's knowledge of Coordinated Entry. Then, explain the project's commitment to ensuring 100% of people accessing the CE will be enrolled and receive a timely assessment.</i>	
<u>Required Submission:</u> <i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for knowledge, or active involvement, with Coordinated Entry + 1 for describing how 100% of participants contacting the CE will be enrolled and <i>receive a timely assessment</i>
	3 Points Total

PART D: INTERVIEW

This section will be conducted with the Rank & Review Committee following the submission of your application. The questions to be asked will be selected by the CoC Board and distributed prior to your interview.

**FY 2026 NEW SUPPORTIVE SERVICES ONLY (SSO) OUTREACH PROJECT SCORECARD
(EXCEPT CE, STANDALONE AND HMIS)**

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
A.1	Project Eligibility	MAG Staff	Narrative	--
A.2	Project Summary	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.3	Supportive Services	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
A.4	Client Employment Income at Exit	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.5	Returns to Homelessness	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.6	Services Participation Requirement	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.7	Mainstream Benefits	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.8	Reasonable Budget	Rank & Review	File	4
A.9	Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.10	Promoting Recovery (partnerships)	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
				42

PART B: PROJECT/AGENCY EXPERIENCE

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
B.1	Experience with Outreach and Connections to Housing, Shelter, or Transitional Housing	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
B.2	Partnerships with First Responders & Law Enforcement	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
B.3	Partnerships	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.4	Financial Capacity	Rank & Review	Narrative & File	3
				14

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
C.1	Lived Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.2	Data and HMIS	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.3	CE Participation	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				9

PART D: INTERVIEW

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
D	Interview Question(s)	Rank & Review	Interview Response	5

Total Score: 70

Objective Criteria: 65

Objective Rating: 93%

Performance Points: 18

Performance Rating: 26%

FY 2026 NEW SSO OUTREACH PROJECT APPLICATION

PART A: DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

A.1 Project Eligibility: Please submit your draft HUD e-snaps application to demonstrate that your project operates under the CoC Project eligible costs under 24 CFR § 578.37. Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your supportive service agreement. Attach your completed Threshold Assessment Checklist.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Draft HUD e-snaps Application, Attachments	<u>Scoring:</u> n/a
A.2 Project Summary: Please provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project, including the type and scale of all assistance to be offered (and the funding source or partnership of each).	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (800-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive description of the proposed project + 2 for describing the type and scale of all assistance to be offered 3 Points Total
A.3 Supportive Services: Describe the experience of your agency and your subrecipients (if any) in providing supportive services similar to that proposed in the application. Specifically address your agency and subrecipients strategy for providing supportive services to participants with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. If you and your subrecipients (if any) do not have experience providing similar supportive services, explain how you will supplement the lack of experience through partnership with other organizations.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 for describing the strategy for providing services for unsheltered homeless participants who do not traditionally engage + 2 for demonstration of experience with the type of supportive services proposed to be offered 5 Points Total
A.4 Client Employment Income at Exit: Please describe how your project will help participants secure employment to increase their earned income. This may include the process for developing client service plans for income-related services, or descriptions of services offered.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing plans for developing client service plans for income-related services + 2 for describing the process and experience helping participants secure employment + 2 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
A.5 Returns to Homelessness: Please describe how your project will help participants not reenter homelessness after their successful exit from the project.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 for describing plans to help participants not reenter homelessness after a successful project exit.

Approved on 6/29/2026

	+ 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
A.6 Services Participation Requirement: Please describe your project’s approach requiring project participants participate in services by contract. Attach your supportive service agreement. Participation requirements must be in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h). Examples of services include case management, employment assistance and job training, life skills training, and substance abuse treatment.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the approach to require participants to participate in services described above + 2 for attaching the supportive services agreement + 2 for the quality of the supportive services agreement 6 Points Total
A.7 Mainstream Benefits: Please describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources and participants assisted in obtaining mainstream health, social and employment benefits (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, Medicare, Medicaid, etc.), and how the project will coordinate the provision of mainstream benefits.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing how participants are assisted to obtain mainstream benefits they are eligible for. Detail the partnerships you have established to assist participants with connection to these benefits. + 2 for describing how the project will be supplemented by other public or private sources, which public and private sources and projected amounts 4 Points Total
A.8 Reasonable Budget: Please submit a completed Budget Chart Form (Appendix B) that matches the summary budget in Question 6J of the draft HUD e-snaps application that demonstrated the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Budget Chart Form	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for completeness and accuracy of the budget + 2 for reasonableness of costs per household served 4 Points Total
A.9 Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments: Please describe your project’s approach to promote recovery and commitment to not distribute drug paraphernalia or knowingly permit the use and distribution of fatal, illicit drugs. Confirm the project will not operate drug injection sites or “safe consumption sites,” knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia, or conduct, permit, encourage or allow any of these activities under the pretext of “harm reduction.” This is not a requirement to condition assistance on sobriety or treatment. Acknowledge and certify you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for project’s agreement to not distribute or permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs or drug paraphernalia part of the program + 1 for project’s commitment to recovery + 1 for your acknowledgement and certification you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination 3 Points Total

A.10 Promoting Recovery:

- Identify any partnerships you have with providers and entities providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.
- Describe how the project identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as ACT teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.
- Describe any partnerships you have with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.
- Describe how you partner with or provide access to peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.
- Identify any partnerships you have with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) or Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GHBI) provider, or a similar facility;

Required Submission:

Narrative (500-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 1 for partnerships in connection with Drug Courts, specialty courts, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.

+ 1 for describing how you identify individuals experiencing SMI and connect them with the services they need

+ 1 for describing partnerships with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders

+ 1 for describing the partnership with or provide access to peer recovery specialists

+ 1 for describing the partnership with a CCBHC, CMHC, PATH provider, GHBI provider, or a similar facility

5 Points Total**PART B: EXPERIENCE****B.1 Experience with Outreach and Connections to Housing, Shelter, or Transitional Housing:**

Describe your agency's experience in providing outreach services or a plan for providing outreach services consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13). Describe your effectiveness at helping people successfully exit from places not meant for human habitation to emergency shelter, treatment projects, transitional housing or permanent housing projects.

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 2 for describing the successful work connecting people with shelter and housing resources

+ 2 for describing the experience or partnerships providing outreach services

4 Points Total

B.2 Partnerships with First Responders and Law Enforcement: Please describe your agency's experience building partnerships or a plan for partnering with first responders and law enforcement. Demonstrate that you have a history of or that you will partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage people living in places not meant for human habitation to access emergency shelter, treatment projects, reunification with family, transitional housing or independent living.

Approved on 6/29/2026

<i>Confirm that you will cooperate, and not interfere or impede with the enforcement of local laws such as public camping and public drug use laws and assist/be willing to assist first responders in their efforts to engage homeless individuals.</i>		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	+ 1 for describing the agency's experience or plan to partner with the entities described above + 2 for describing of agency's experience partnering or commitment to partner with first responders and law enforcement to engage unsheltered participants + 1 for confirming that your agency will cooperate, assist/be willing to assist, and not interfere or impede law enforcement 4 Points Total	
B.3 Partnerships: Please describe your project's approach integrating healthcare, faith-based and other partnership types into the provision of services and engagement (e.g., partnerships with primary healthcare, substance use disorder treatment, mental health treatment, workforce development, faith-based and other partnership types) and the additional resources (\$s) these partnerships bring to the project (demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match). Attach MOUs/agreements to demonstrate these partnerships.		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for describing the agency's approach and experience partnering with the entities described above + 2 for describing the resources (\$s and % of project budget, minimum 25%) these partnerships bring to the project including MOUs/ agreements to demonstrate these partnerships 3 Points Total	
B.4 Financial Capacity: Please submit your agency's most recent financial audit and management letter or internal audit (if no financial audit is available) OR provide an explanation as to why there has not been an audit. If your audit indicates any findings or concerns, please provide documentation that those findings have been resolved, or the agency has attempted to resolve them. Describe your experience with other federal funding sources. Describe your ability to manage federal compliance requirements, including 2 CFR 200 and 24 CFR 578 (provide examples of good standing on external monitoring).		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Financial audit or internal audit and management letter; documentation of resolution or attempts to resolve Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring (w/ Findings):</u> + 1 steps taken to resolve findings from most recent audit or internal audit + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total	<u>Scoring (No Findings):</u> + 1 for audit with no findings + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

C.1 Lived Experience: Describe how your agency will take proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees

Approved on 6/29/2026

<i>Documentation</i>	+ 1 for incorporating lived experience in project design 3 Points Total
C.2 Data and HMIS: Please describe your agency's knowledge of the HMIS. Please explain the project's commitment to collecting accurate data, reviewing it to evaluate participant outcomes and upholding required HMIS data quality standards.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> <i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for knowledge, or active involvement, with the Maricopa HMIS + 1 for describing the project's data evaluation and review + 1 for upholding required HMIS data quality standards 3 Points Total
C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Participation: Please describe your agency's knowledge of Coordinated Entry. Then, explain the project's commitment to ensuring 100% of people are enrolled through the Family and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System and assessed timely.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> <i>Narrative (300-word limit)</i>	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for knowledge, or active involvement, with Coordinated Entry + 1 for describing how 100% of participants are enrolled and assessed timely through the CES 3 Points Total

PART D: INTERVIEW

This section will be conducted with the Rank & Review Committee following the submission of your application. The questions to be asked will be selected by the CoC Board and distributed prior to your interview.

**FY 2026 NEW SSO STANDALONE PROJECT SCORECARD
(EXCEPT CE, STREET OUTREACH AND HMIS)**

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
A.1	Project Eligibility	MAG Staff	Narrative	--
A.2	Project Summary	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.3	Supportive Services	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.4	Client Employment Income at Exit	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.5	Returns to Homelessness	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.6	Services Participation Requirement	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.7	Mainstream Benefits	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.8	Reasonable Budget	Rank & Review	File	4
A.9	Service Necessity	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
A.10	Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.11	Promoting Recovery (partnerships)	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
				46

PART B: PROJECT/AGENCY EXPERIENCE

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
B.1	Experience with Supportive Services and Connections to Housing or Transitional Housing	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
B.2	Partnerships	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.3	Financial Capacity	Rank & Review	Narrative & File	3
				10

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
C.1	Lived Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.2	Data and HMIS	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.3	CE Participation	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				9

PART D: INTERVIEW

<i>Criteria</i>		<i>Scorer</i>	<i>Submission</i>	<i>Points</i>
D	Interview Question(s)	Rank & Review	Interview Response	5

Total Score: 70

Objective Criteria: 65

Objective Rating: 93%

Performance Points: 18

Performance Rating: 26%

FY 2026 NEW SSO STANDALONE PROJECT APPLICATION

PART A: DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

A.1 Project Eligibility: Please submit your draft HUD e-snaps application to demonstrate that your project operates under the CoC Project eligible costs under 24 CFR § 578.37. Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your supportive service agreement. Attach your completed Threshold Assessment Checklist.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Draft HUD e-snaps Application, Attachments	<u>Scoring:</u> n/a
A.2 Project Summary: Please provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project, including the type and scale of all assistance to be offered (and the funding source or partnership of each).	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (800-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive description of the proposed project + 2 for describing the type and scale of all assistance to be offered 3 Points Total
A.3 Supportive Services: Describe the experience of your agency and your subrecipients (if any) in providing supportive services similar to that proposed in the application. Specifically address your agency and subrecipients strategy for providing supportive services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage with supportive services. If you and your subrecipients (if any) do not have experience providing similar supportive services, explain how you will supplement the lack of experience through partnership with other organizations.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the strategy for providing services to eligible program participants including those with histories of unsheltered homelessness and those who do not traditionally engage + 2 for demonstration of experience with the type of supportive services proposed to be offered 4 Points Total
A.4 Client Employment Income at Exit: Please describe how your project will help participants secure employment to increase their earned income. This may include the process for developing client service plans for income-related services, or descriptions of services offered.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing plans for developing client service plans for income-related services + 2 for describing the process and experience helping participants secure employment + 2 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
A.5 Returns to Homelessness: Please describe how your project will help participants not reenter homelessness after their successful exit from the project.	

Approved on 6/29/2026

<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 for describing plans to help participants not reenter homelessness after a successful project exit. + 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
A.6 Services Participation Requirement: Please describe your project’s approach requiring project participants participate in services by contract. Attach your supportive service agreement. Participation requirements must be in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h). Examples of services include case management, employment assistance and job training, life skills training, and substance abuse treatment.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the approach to require participants to participate in services described above + 2 for attaching the supportive services agreement + 2 for the quality of the supportive services agreement 6 Points Total
A.7 Mainstream Benefits: Please describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources and participants assisted in obtaining mainstream health, social and employment benefits (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, Medicare, Medicaid, etc.), and how the project will coordinate the provision of mainstream benefits.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing how participants are assisted to obtain mainstream benefits they are eligible for. Detail the partnerships you have established to assist participants with connection to these benefits. + 2 for describing how the project will be supplemented by other public or private sources, which public and private sources and projected amounts 4 Points Total
A.8 Reasonable Budget: Please submit a completed Budget Chart Form (Appendix B) that matches the summary budget in Question 6J of the draft HUD e-snaps application that demonstrated the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Budget Chart Form	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for completeness and accuracy of the budget + 2 for reasonableness of costs per household served 4 Points Total
A.9 Service Necessity: Please describe how the supportive services project is necessary to assist people in exiting homelessness, addressing barriers to stable housing (e.g., substance use disorder, unemployment, childcare, etc.) and increasing self-sufficiency. Describe how the project will conduct an annual assessment of the service needs of project participants.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the necessity of the supportive services to exit homelessness + 1 for describing how the project will increase self-sufficiency of participants + 2 for describing the annual assessment of service needs of participants 5 Points Total

A.10 Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments: Please describe your project's approach to promote recovery and commitment to not distribute drug paraphernalia or knowingly permit the use and distribution of fatal, illicit drugs. Confirm the project will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia, or conduct, permit, encourage or allow any of these activities under the pretext of "harm reduction." This is not a requirement to condition assistance on sobriety or treatment. Acknowledge and certify you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination.

Required Submission:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 1 for project's agreement to not distribute or permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs or drug paraphernalia part of the program

+ 1 for project's commitment to recovery

+ 1 for your acknowledgement and certification you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination

3 Points Total

A.11 Promoting Recovery:

- Identify any partnerships you have with providers and entities providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.
- Describe how the project identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as ACT teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment.
- Describe any partnerships you have with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports.
- Describe how you partner with or provide access to peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation.
- Identify any partnerships you have with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) or Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GHBI) provider, or a similar facility;

Required Submission:

Narrative (500-word limit)

Scoring:

+ 1 for partnerships in connection with Drug Courts, specialty courts, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment.

+ 1 for describing how you identify individuals experiencing SMI and connect them with the services they need

+ 1 for describing partnerships with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders

+ 1 for describing the partnership with or provide access to peer recovery specialists

+ 1 for describing the partnership with a CCBHC, CMHC, PATH provider, GHBI provider, or a similar facility

5 Points Total

PART B: EXPERIENCE

B.1 Experience with Supportive Services and Connections to Housing or Transitional Housing: <i>Describe your agency's experience in providing supportive services or a plan for providing services consistent with the activity description at 24 CFR 578.53(e)(13). Describe your effectiveness at helping people successfully exit to treatment projects, transitional housing or permanent housing projects.</i>		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the successful work connecting people with next step housing and other resources + 2 for describing the experience or partnerships providing supportive services 4 Points Total	
B.2 Partnerships: <i>Please describe your project's approach integrating healthcare, faith-based and other partnership types into the provision of services and engagement (e.g. partnerships with primary healthcare, off-site substance use disorder treatment, mental health treatment, workforce development, faith-based and other partnership types) and the additional resources (\$s) these partnerships bring to the project (demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match). Attach MOUs/agreements to demonstrate these partnerships.</i>		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for describing the agency's approach and experience partnering with the entities described above + 2 for describing the resources (\$s and % of project budget, minimum 25%) these partnerships bring to the project including MOUs /agreements you have to demonstrate these partnerships 3 Points Total	
B.3 Financial Capacity: <i>Please submit your agency's most recent financial audit and management letter or internal audit (if no financial audit is available) OR provide an explanation as to why there has not been an audit. If your audit indicates any findings or concerns, please provide documentation that those findings have been resolved, or the agency has attempted to resolve them. Describe your experience with other federal funding sources. Describe your ability to manage federal compliance requirements, including 2 CFR 200 and 24 CFR 578 (provide examples of good standing on external monitoring).</i>		
<u>Required Submission:</u> Financial audit or internal audit and management letter; documentation of resolution or attempts to resolve Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring (w/ Findings):</u> + 1 for steps taken to resolve findings from most recent audit or internal audit + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total	<u>Scoring (No Findings):</u> + 1 for audit with no findings + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

C.1 Lived Experience: <i>Describe how your agency will take proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.</i>	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees

<i>Documentation</i>	+ 1 for incorporating lived experience in project design 3 Points Total
C.2 Data and HMIS: Please describe your agency's knowledge of the HMIS. Please explain the project's commitment to collecting accurate data, reviewing it to evaluate participant outcomes and upholding required HMIS data quality standards.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for knowledge, or active involvement, with the Maricopa HMIS + 1 for describing the project's data evaluation and review + 1 for upholding required HMIS data quality standards 3 Points Total
C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Participation: Please describe your agency's knowledge of Coordinated Entry. Then, explain the project's commitment to ensuring 100% of people are enrolled through the Family and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System and assessed timely.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for knowledge, or active involvement, with Coordinated Entry + 1 for describing how 100% of participants are enrolled and assessed timely through the CES 3 Points Total

PART D: INTERVIEW

This section will be conducted with the Rank & Review Committee following the submission of your application. The questions to be asked will be selected by the CoC Board and distributed prior to your interview.

FY 2026 NEW TH PROJECT SCORECARD

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
A.1	Project Eligibility	MAG Staff	Narrative	--
A.2	Project Summary	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.3	Population Served	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.4	Supportive Services	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.5	Services Participation Requirement	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.6	Client Employment Income at Exit	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.7	Returns to Homelessness	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
A.8	Mainstream Benefits	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
A.9	Reasonable Budget	Rank & Review	File	4
A.10	Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
A.11	Promoting Recovery (partnerships)	Rank & Review	Narrative	5
A.12	Promoting Recovery (on-site treatment)	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				47

PART B: PROJECT/AGENCY EXPERIENCE

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
B.1	Housing Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.2	Partnerships	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.3	Financial Capacity	Rank & Review	Narrative & File	3
				9

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
C.1	Lived Experience	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.2	Data and HMIS	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.3	CE Participation	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
				9

PART D: INTERVIEW

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
D	Interview Question(s)	Rank & Review	Interview Response	5

PART E: BONUS POINTS

Criteria		Scorer	Submission	Points
E	Substance use treatment engagement	Rank & Review	Narrative	3

Total Score: 70

Objective Criteria: 65

Objective Rating: 93%

Performance Points: 18

Performance Rating: 26%

FY 2026 NEW TH PROJECT APPLICATION

PART A: DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY

A.1. Project Eligibility: Please submit your draft HUD e-snaps application to demonstrate that your project operates under the CoC Project eligible costs under 24 CFR § 578.37. Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your supportive service agreement. Attach your completed Threshold Assessment Checklist.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Draft HUD e-snaps Application, Attachments	<u>Scoring:</u> n/a
A.2 Project Summary: Please provide a description that addresses the entire scope of the proposed project, including the type and scale of all assistance to be offered (and the funding source or partnership of each). Include if the proposed project is low barrier and how you define “low barrier”. In case of TH with Rental Assistance subsidy, detail your agreement with a unit of government to administer the Rental Assistance component. Please describe your experience operating transitional housing or other projects that have successfully helped homeless individuals and families exit homelessness within 24 months or has a plan in place to ensure homeless individuals and families will exit homelessness within 24 months.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (800-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive description of the proposed project + 2 for describing how you will help participants exit homelessness within 24 months 3 Points Total
A.3 Population Served: Please describe your agency’s (or subrecipient’s) experience working with the proposed population. Please describe your experience or plans to have at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months and at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for comprehensive demonstration of experience with the population + 1 experience or plans to have at least 50 percent of participants exit to permanent housing within 24 months + 1 experience or plans to have at least 50 percent of participants exit with employment income 3 Points Total
A.4 Supportive Services: Please describe your project’s approach to provide eligible supportive services that are necessary to assist program participants to obtain and maintain housing (i.e., case management, behavioral healthcare, employment training, etc.) Please describe how the project will assess the service needs of program participants. Describe the service plans for each program participant that should include the services to be provided, when and how often and by whom; program participant goals, strategies for achieving goals, and target dates for achievement to focus on improved health and wellness, housing stability, and increased employment income leading to financial stability and self-sufficiency	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing plans to provide services to assist participants to obtain and maintain housing + 2 for describing how the project will assess the service needs of program participants 4 Points Total

Approved on 6/29/2026

A.5 Services Participation Requirement: Please describe your project’s approach to require project participants to participate in services by contract, occupancy agreement, or lease. Attach your supportive service agreement. Participation requirements must be in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h). Describe how project participants will be provided with individualized services that will result in at least 20 hours per week of engagement in services, activities or employment for all program participants (e.g. case management, counseling, treatment, volunteering, work therapy, education, job training, community building activities, etc.). Employment may contribute to the 20 hours per week of engagement. Exception for participants over age 62, individuals with handicaps or developmental disability.

<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing the approach to require participants to participate in services described above + 2 for describing the customized services that add up to 20h/week with the above exceptions + 2 for the quality of the supportive services agreement 6 Points Total
---	---

A.6 Client Employment Income at Exit: Please describe how your project will help participants secure employment to increase their earned income.

<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing plans that at least 50% of participants exit with employment income + 2 for describing the process and experience helping participants secure employment + 2 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed HUD’s performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
--	--

A.7 Returns to Homelessness: Please describe how your project will help participants not reenter homelessness after their successful exit from the project.

<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 for describing plans to help participants not reenter homelessness after a successful project exit + 3 for describing plans on how to meet or exceed current performance standard for the metric 6 Points Total
--	---

A.8 Mainstream Benefits: Please describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources and participants assisted in obtaining mainstream health, social and employment benefits (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, Medicare, Medicaid, etc.), and how the project will coordinate the provision of mainstream benefits.

<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for describing of how participants are assisted to obtain mainstream benefits they are eligible for. Detail the partnerships you have established to assist participants with connection to these benefits. +2 for describing how the project will be supplemented by other public or private sources, which public and private sources and projected amounts 4 Points Total
--	--

A.9 Reasonable Budget: Please submit a completed Budget Chart Form (Appendix B) that matches the summary budget in Question 6J of the draft HUD e-snaps application that demonstrated the average cost per household served for the project is reasonable.

<u>Required Submission:</u> Budget Chart Form	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for completeness and accuracy of the budget + 2 for reasonableness of cost per household served 4 Points Total
A.10 Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments: Please describe your project's approach to promote recovery and commitment to not distribute drug paraphernalia or knowingly permit the use and distribution of fatal, illicit drugs on the property. Confirm the project will not operate drug injection sites or "safe consumption sites," knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under your control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under your control, or conduct, permit, encourage or allow any of these activities under the pretext of "harm reduction." This is not a requirement to condition assistance on sobriety or treatment.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for project's agreement to not operate the above stated sites, and not distribute or permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on the property under your control + 1 for project's commitment to recovery 3 Points Total
A.11 Promoting Recovery: - Identify any partnerships you have with providers and entities providing services in connection with Drug Courts and other specialty courts serving individuals with mental and substance use disorders, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment. - Describe how the project identifies individuals experiencing Serious Mental Illness and connects them with the services necessary to promote stability, such as ACT teams and other models that combine intensive care coordination and assertive outreach with comprehensive treatment. - Describe any partnerships you have with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders with a range of appropriate levels of care, psychosocial interventions, medication management, suicide prevention, and recovery supports. - Describe how you partner with or provide access to peer recovery specialists or other forms of peer support and recovery navigation. - Identify any partnerships you have with a Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) or Community Mental Health Center (CMHC), SAMHSA Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) provider, Grants for the Benefit of Homeless Individuals (GHBI) provider, or a similar facility;	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (500-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for partnerships in connection with Drug Courts, specialty courts, Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) programs, and inpatient civil commitment. + 1 for describing how you identify individuals experiencing SMI and connect them with the services they need + 1 for describing partnerships with providers of outpatient treatment for mental health and substance use disorders + 1 for describing the partnership with or provide access to peer recovery specialists + 1 for describing the partnership with a CCBHC, CMHC, PATH provider, GHBI provider, or a similar facility 5 Points Total
A.12 Promoting Recovery: Please describe your project's availability of on-site substance use treatment and if so, the partnership you have with a treatment provider.	
<u>Required Submission:</u>	<u>Scoring:</u>

Narrative (300-word limit)	+ 2 for project's availability of on-site substance use treatment + 1 for describing the partnership and naming the treatment provider
	3 Points Total

PART B: EXPERIENCE

B.1 Housing Experience: Please describe your agency's (or subrecipient's) experience in providing housing similar to the intervention proposed in the application. If you do not have experience with the intervention, explain how you will supplement the lack of experience through partnerships.		
Required Submission: Narrative (300-word limit)	Scoring: + 3 for comprehensive demonstration of experience 3 Points Total	
B.2 Partnerships: Please describe your project's approach integrating healthcare, especially behavioral health coordination, law enforcement, faith-based and other partnership types into the provision of services and housing (e.g. partnerships with primary healthcare, on-site and off-site substance use disorder treatment, mental health treatment, workforce development, faith-based and other partnership types) and the additional resources (\$s) these partnerships bring to the project (demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match). Attach MOUs/agreements to demonstrate these partnerships.		
Required Submission: Narrative (300-word limit)	Scoring: + 1 for describing the agency's approach and experience partnering with the entities described above + 2 for describing the resources (\$s and % of project budget, minimum 25%) these partnerships bring to the project including MOUs/ agreements to demonstrate these partnerships 3 Points Total	
B.3 Financial Capacity: Please submit your agency's most recent financial audit and management letter or internal audit (if no financial audit is available) OR provide an explanation as to why there has not been an audit. If your audit indicates any findings or concerns, please provide documentation that those findings have been resolved, or the agency has attempted to resolve them. Describe your experience with other federal funding sources. Describe your ability to manage federal compliance requirements, including 2 CFR 200 and 24 CFR 578 (provide examples of good standing on external monitoring).		
Required Submission: Financial audit or internal audit and management letter; documentation of resolution or attempts to resolve Narrative (300-word limit)	Scoring (w/ Findings): + 1 steps taken to resolve findings from most recent audit or internal audit + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total	Scoring (No Findings): + 1 for audit with no findings + 1 for experience with other federal funding sources + 1 for ability to manage compliance requirements 3 Points Total

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

C.1 Lived Experience: Describe how your agency takes proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.	
Required Submissions:	Scoring:

Approved on 6/29/2026

Narrative (300-word limit) Documentation	+ 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees + 1 for incorporation of lived experience in project design 3 Points Total
C.2 Data and HMIS: Please describe your agency's knowledge of the HMIS. Please explain the project's commitment to collecting accurate data, reviewing it to evaluate participant outcomes and upholding required HMIS data quality standards.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 1 for knowledge, or active involvement, with the Maricopa HMIS + 1 for describing the project's data evaluation and review approach + 1 for upholding required HMIS data quality standards 3 Points Total
C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Participation: Please describe your agency's knowledge of Coordinated Entry. Then, explain the project's commitment to ensuring 100% of people enrolled are referred through the Family and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System.	
<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for knowledge, or active involvement, with Coordinated Entry + 1 for describing how you will ensure 100% participant enrollment through the CES 3 Points Total

PART D: INTERVIEW

This section will be conducted with the Rank & Review Committee following the submission of your application. The questions to be asked will be selected by the CoC Board and distributed prior to your interview.

PART E: BONUS POINTS

Bonus points may be awarded based on the criteria below. However, bonus points cannot increase an application's final score above the maximum Total Score established for this application.

E.1 Substance use treatment engagement: Bonus points are awarded if CoC-funded units require participant engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued participation. Please state your level of commitment to participants' required engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued program participation.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 3 100% of the units require participant engagement + 2 At least 50% of the units require participant engagement 3 Points Max

FY 2026 RENEWAL PSH/RRH PROJECT SCORECARD

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY- THRESHOLD

Criteria		Applies to	Scorer	Submission
A.1	Project Eligibility	PSH/RRH	MAG Staff	File
A.2	Project Summary	PSH/RRH	MAG Staff	Narrative

PART B: PROJECT PERFORMANCE

Criteria		Applies to	Scorer	Submission	Total Points
B.1	Positive Exit Destinations	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	5
B.2	Returns to Homelessness (Exits to Homeless Destinations)	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	6
B.3	Client Employment Income (Earned Income)	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	6
B.4	Non-employment Cash Income	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	4
B.5	Mainstream Benefits	PSH/RRH	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
B.6	Service Participation Requirement	PSH/RRH	Rank & Review	Narrative	6
B.7	High Need Population	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	6
B.8	Utilization Rate	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR & CoC App	5
B.9	Monitoring	PSH/RRH	MAG Staff	Narrative & MAG Staff	4
B.10	Grant Spenddown	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	5
B.11	Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments	Rank & Review	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.12	Promoting Recovery (on-site treatment)	Rank & Review	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
B.13	Performance Discretionary	PSH/RRH	Rank & Review	Narrative	4
Sub-Total					61

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

Criteria		Applies to	Scorer	Submission	Total Points
C.1	Lived Experience	PSH/RRH	Rank & Review	Narrative	3
C.2	Data and HMIS	PSH/RRH	Auto	APR Data	3
C.3	CE Acceptance	PSH/RRH	Auto	HMIS Data	3
Sub-Total					9

PART D: BONUS POINTS

Criteria		Applies to	Scorer	Submission	Points
D	Substance use treatment engagement	PSH/RRH	Rank & Review	Narrative	3

Total Score: 70

Objective Criteria: 66

Objective Rating: 94%

Performance Points: 18

Performance Rating: 26%

FY 2026 RENEWAL PSH/RRH PROJECT APPLICATION

PART A: PROJECT DESIGN AND ELIGIBILITY- THRESHOLD

A.1 Project Eligibility: Please verify your project's eligibility as a recipient of the CoC grant. Submit the project's draft HUD e-snaps application. Attach letters of commitment, contracts, or other formal documents that demonstrate the commitment of at least 25% match. Attach your supportive service agreement. Attach your completed Threshold Assessment Checklist.	
Required Submissions: Draft HUD e-snaps Application; HUD Grant Agreement, Attachments.	Scoring: n/a
A.2 Project Summary: Please summarize the day-to-day operation of your project with details on the type and scale of assistance to be offered (including the funding source or partnership of each). [For PSH:] Include the number and configuration of units and describe how they fit the needs of the program participants. [For RRH:] Describe how the provision of tenant-based rental assistance will help individuals and families achieve self-sufficiency within 24 months.	
Required Submission: Narrative (800-word limit)	Scoring: n/a

PART B: PROJECT PERFORMANCE

System performance benchmarks were obtained by averaging CY2023, federal fiscal year 2024 and CY2024 System Annual Performance Report key performance indicators (KPI¹), calculated using the definitions below. The benchmarks are included in the middle of the bolded scoring ranges for each of the KPIs below. As the system performs at the respective average, it is expected that individual projects perform in that range as well. Projects that performed above the average are awarded the top score for the respective KPI. Project performance is assessed for the most recently closed federal fiscal year, 10/1/2024 – 09/30/2025, using APR and HMIS custom reports information for this timeframe, and the most recently submitted APR in Sage for the financial information.

Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) scoring ranges are marked in black and Rapid Rehousing (RRH) in blue.

B.1 Positive Exit Destinations: As reported in the APR, the percentage of people who exited the project during the year to a permanent destination (PSH/RRH) or continued to be stably housed (PSH).		
Data Autoscored: APR Qs 23c, 5a PSH: (5a8 Number of Stayers + Q23c Total persons exiting to Permanent Situations) / (5a1 Total number of persons served – Q23c Total persons whose destination excluded) RRH: Q23c Total persons exiting to Permanent Situations / (Q23c Total –	Scoring (PSH): 5 = 95% or more 4 = 93 – 94.9% 3 = 90 – 92.9% 2 = 87 – 89.9% 1 = 84 – 86.9% 0 = less than 84% 5 Points Total	Scoring (RRH): 5 = 82% or more; 4 = 79 – 81.9%; 3 = 76 – 78.9%; 2 = 72 – 75.9%; 1 = 68 – 71.9%; 0 = less than 68%; 5 Points Total

¹ A key performance indicator (KPI) is a quantifiable metric that tracks progress toward a specific goal and serves as a performance benchmark that helps assess success over time.

Q23c Total persons whose destination excluded)		
B.2 Returns to Homelessness (Exits to Homeless Destinations): <i>As reported in the APR, the percentage of people in the project who exited the project during the year to a homeless situation (place not meant for habitation, emergency shelter, including hotel or motel, host homes and safe haven) (measure closest to recidivism).</i>		
<u>Data Autoscored:</u> APR Q 23 Q23c Subtotal - Homeless Situations / (Q23c Total – Q23c Total persons whose destination excluded)	<u>Scoring (PSH):</u> 6 = 15.9% or less 4 = 16 - 20.9% 2 = 21 - 25.9% 0 = more than 26% 6 Points Total	<u>Scoring (RRH):</u> 6 = 8.9% or less 4 = 9 - 11.9% 2 = 12 - 14.9% 0 = more than 15% 6 Points Total
B.3 Client Employment Income (Earned Income): <i>As reported in the APR, the percentage of people aged 18 and older who maintained or increased their earned income at the end of the operating year or project exit, either by maintaining or gaining employment or by increasing the amount of their earned income.</i>		
<u>Data Autoscored:</u> APR Qs 19a1, 19a2, 18 (Q19a1 Number of Adults with Earned Income Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained + Q19a2 Number of Adults with Earned Income Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained) / (Q18 Total Adults – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment Number of Adults at Annual Assessment)	<u>Scoring (PSH):</u> 6 = 11% or more 5 = 8 – 10.9% 4 = 5 – 7.9% 2 = 3 – 4.9% 0 = less than 3% 6 Points Total	<u>Scoring (RRH):</u> 6 = 32% or more; 5 = 27 – 31.9%; 4 = 22 – 26.9%; 2 = 17 – 21.9%; 0 = less than 17%; 6 Points Total
B.4 Non-employment cash Income: <i>As reported in the APR, the percentage of people aged 18 and older who maintained or increased their non-employment cash income at the end of the operating year or program exit.</i>		
<u>Data Autoscored:</u> APR Qs 19a1, 19a2, 18 (Q19a1 Number of Adults with Only Other Income Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained + Q19a2 Number of Adults with Only Other Income Retained and Same + Retained and Increased + Gained) / (Q18 Total Adults – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment Number of Adults at Annual Assessment)	<u>Scoring (PSH):</u> 4 = 54% or more 3 = 49 – 53.9% 1 = 44 – 48.9% 0 = less than 44% 4 Points Total	<u>Scoring (RRH):</u> 4 = 36% or more 3 = 30 – 35.9% 1 = 25 – 29.9% 0 = less than 25% 4 Points Total
B.5 Mainstream Benefits: <i>Please describe how your project will be supplemented with resources from other public or private sources and participants will be assisted in obtaining mainstream health, social and employment benefits (SNAP, SSI/SSDI, Medicare, Medicaid, etc.), and how the project will coordinate the provision of mainstream benefits.</i>		
<u>Required Submission:</u>	<u>Scoring:</u>	

Narrative (300-word limit)		+ 2 for describing how participants are assisted to obtain mainstream benefits they are eligible for. Detail the partnerships you have established to assist participants with connection to these benefits. + 2 for describing how the project will be supplemented by other public or private sources, which public and private sources and projected amounts	
		4 Points Total	
B.6 Service Participation Requirement: Please describe your project's approach to require project participants to participate in services by contract, occupancy agreement, or lease. Attach your supportive service agreement. Participation requirements must be in line with 24 CFR 578.75(h). Examples of services include case management, employment assistance and job training, life skills training, and substance use disorder treatment.			
Required Submission: Narrative (300-word limit)		Scoring: + 2 for describing the approach to require participants to participate in services described above + 2 for describing partnerships with public or private sources and the resources (\$s and % of project budget) these partnerships will bring + 2 for attaching a compliant supportive services agreement	
		6 Points Total	
B.7 High Need Population: As reported in the APR, the percentage of people served by the project who are high need at entry, including: Disabled Households, Unsheltered Adults, Older Adults (age 65 and over), Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault.			
Data Autoscored:		6 points Maximum	6 points Maximum
a. Disabled Households with 2 and 3 conditions at entry APR Qs 13a2, 8a PSH: (Q13a2 2 Conditions+ Q13a2 3+ Conditions) / Q8a Total Households Served who Moved into Housing RRH: (Q13a2 2 Conditions+ Q13a2 3+ Conditions) / Q8a Total Households		Scoring Disabled (PSH): 3 = 81% or more 2 = 76 – 80.9% 1 = 71 – 75.9% 0 = less than 71%	Scoring Disabled (RRH): 3 = 49% or more; 2 = 44 – 48.9%; 1 = 39 – 43.9%; 0 = less than 39%;
		3 Points Total	3 Points Total
b. Unsheltered Adults: APR Q 15 Q15 "Place not meant for habitation" / Q5a2 Number of Adults		Unsheltered (PSH): 3 = 40% or more 2 = 35 – 39.9% 1 = 29 – 34.9% 0 = less than 29%	Unsheltered (RRH): 3 = 38% or more; 2 = 33 – 37.9%; 1 = 28 – 32.9%; 0 = less than 28%;
		3 Points Total	3 Points Total
c. Older Adults (age 65 and over) APR Q 11 Q11 65+ / Q5a2 Number of Adults		Older Adults (age 65 and over) (PSH): 3 = 13% or more 2 = 10% – 12.9% 1 = 7% – 9.9% 0 = less than 7%	Older Adults (age 65 and over)(RRH): 3 = 13% or more 2 = 10 – 12.9% 1 = 7 – 9.9% 0 = less than 7%
		3 Points Total	3 Points Total
d. Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault APR Qs 14a, Q5a Q14a Yes / Q5a2 Number of Adults		Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault (PSH): 3 = 32% or more 2 = 27 – 31.9%	Adult Victims of Domestic Violence or Sexual Assault (RRH): 3 = 30% or more; 2 = 25 – 29.9%;

	1 = 22 – 26.9% 0 = less than 22% 3 Points Total	1 = 20 – 24.9%; 0 = less than 20%; 3 Points Total
B.8 Utilization Rate: As reported in the APR, the average utilization rate during the operating year.		
Data Autoscored: APR Q 08b, CoC e-snaps App PSH: (Q08b January + April + July + October)/4 / Project UNIT Capacity RRH: (Q08b January + April + July + October)/4 / (Project Full Capacity for One Night as Committed in the CoC Application, Screen 5A, Total Number of Households)	Scoring (PSH): 5 = 96% or more 4 = 93 – 95.9% 3 = 90 – 92.9% 2 = 87 – 89.9% 1 = 84 – 86.9% 0 = less than 84% 5 Points Total	Scoring (RRH): 5 = 84% or more 4 = 80 – 83.9% 3 = 76 – 79.9% 2 = 72 – 75.9% 1 = 68 – 71.9% 0 = less than 68% 5 Points Total
B.9 Monitoring: Please provide an explanation for any HUD or MAG monitoring letters related to projects open January 1, 2024 to present. These include notices provided by MAG for Sage reporting, quarterly grant spenddown, or data quality, and open monitoring findings from either MAG or HUD.		
Required Submission: Narrative and MAG Staff Narrative (300-word limit)	Scoring (w/ Findings): + 2 for identified steps to remediate open findings or issues + 2 for no past due reporting or untimely monitoring activities 4 Points Total	Scoring (No Findings): + 4 for a project having no open monitoring findings, notices, or delayed submission of required reports 4 Points Total
B.10 Grant Spenddown: Percentage of disbursed HUD funding used for the most recent closed operating year, as reported in SAGE.		
Data Autoscored: Sage	Scoring: 5 = 98% – 100% 4 = 95% – 97.9% 2 = 90% – 94.9% 1 = Less than 90% 5 Points Total	
B.11 Promoting Recovery, Agreements and Commitments: Please describe your project’s approach to promote recovery and commitment to not distribute drug paraphernalia or knowingly permit the use and distribution of fatal, illicit drugs on the property. Confirm the project will not operate drug injection sites or “safe consumption sites,” knowingly distribute drug paraphernalia on or off of property under your control, permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on property under your control, or conduct, permit, encourage or allow any of these activities under the pretext of “harm reduction.” This is not a requirement to condition assistance on sobriety or treatment. Acknowledge and certify you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination.		
Required Submission: Narrative (300-word limit)	Scoring: + 1 for your agreement to not operate the above stated sites, and not distribute or permit the use or distribution of illicit drugs on the property under your control + 1 for project’s commitment to recovery + 1 for your acknowledgement and certification you will not engage in illegal racial discrimination 3 Points Total	
B.12 Promoting Recovery: Please describe your project’s availability of on-site substance use treatment and if so, the partnership you have with a treatment provider.		

<u>Required Submission:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for project's availability of on-site substance use treatment + 1 for describing the partnership and naming the treatment provider 3 Points Total
B.13 Performance Discretionary: Agencies may submit a narrative to supplement the performance data above. The narrative should explain why full points were not received and what will be done moving forward to improve upon current project performance.	
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> 2 = why were full points not received 2 = what will be done to improve current performance 4 Points Total

PART C: COMMUNITY PRIORITIES

C.1 Lived Experience: Describe how your project takes proactive steps to ensure the participation of homeless or formerly homeless individuals in decision-making and project design through feedback loops, listening sessions, or leadership opportunities.		
<u>Required Submissions:</u> Narrative (300-word limit)	<u>Scoring:</u> + 2 for lived experience membership on boards or committees + 1 for incorporation of lived experience in project design 3 Points Total	
C.2 Data and HMIS: As reported in the APR, the percentage of total HMIS fields across all persons served that are missing or in error.		
<u>Data Autoscored:</u> APR Qs: 5a, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d (Q6a Total errors for Name, Date of Birth, Race/Ethnicity + Q6b Total errors for Project Start Date, Relationship to HoH, Disabling Condition + Q6c Destination, Income and Sources at Start, Annual Assessment, and Exit + 6d Total errors)/ Q5a1 Total Number of Persons Served	<u>Scoring (PSH):</u> 3 = less than 19% 2 = 19 – 21.9% 1 = 22 – 23.9% 0 = more than 24% 3 Points Total	<u>Scoring (RRH):</u> 3 = less than 22%; 2 = 22 – 24.9%; 1 = 25 – 26.9%; 0 = more than 27%; 3 Points Total
C.3 Coordinated Entry (CE) Acceptance: As reported in HMIS, the percentage of eligible referrals accepted by the project from the Family and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System.		
<u>Data Autoscored:</u> HMIS Report	<u>Scoring:</u> 3 = 95% or more 2 = 90% – 94.9% 1 = 80% – 89.9% 0 = Less than 80% 3 Points Total	

PART D: BONUS POINTS

Bonus points may be awarded based on the criteria below. However, bonus points cannot increase an application's final score above the maximum Total Score established for this application.

Approved on 6/29/2026

D.1 Substance use treatment engagement: Bonus points are awarded if CoC-funded units require participant engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued participation. Please state your level of commitment to participants' required engagement in substance use treatment services as a condition of continued program participation.

Required Submissions:

Narrative (300-word limit)

Scoring:

+ **3** 100% of the units require participant engagement

+ **2** At least 50% of the units require participant engagement

3 Points Max

APPENDIX B – PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA

FY2026 Rank & Review Prioritization Criteria

Approved by the Maricopa Regional CoC Board 6/29/26

A. Prioritize renewal projects over new projects in Tier 1.

The Tier 1 or the “held harmless” amount of funding not dependent on the CoC overall score for renewal is set at 60% of the overall funding. Projects ranked in Tier 1 are required to meet the NOFO project quality and project threshold requirements. Tier 1 will include only renewal projects.

B. Tier 1 Prioritization and Ranking up to the Tier 1 amount (\$29,164,276):

1. Projects that are required to operate within the CoC are held harmless and ranked at the top of Tier I, in the following order. These projects are not scored (\$2,615,437).
 - HMIS
 - Coordinated Entry (CE)
2. Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) that the CoC Board preserves as PSH, using objective criteria, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points, up to Tier 1 amount or closest amount (\$26,548,839).
 - Single adult PSH projects are prioritized in Tier 1
3. The following project(s) are held harmless, and will not be placed in Tier 2 as they are unique to the CoC and are serving an underserved population:
 - At least one family renewal/transition project
4. Under Tier 1, as the last project ranked, the CoC Board will choose the project that maximizes the 60% Tier 1 amount. This means that most of the project’s funding is in Tier 1 for the project to be viable. The CoC Board will assess the project ranked last in Tier 1 based on its scoring. If the project is not viable in Tier 1, the CoC Board will move to the next ranked project to assess its viability.

C. Tier 2 Prioritization and Ranking, up to the Tier 2 amount (\$29,442,850). Tier 2 = ARD-Tier 1 + DV Bonus + CoC Bonus:

5. DV Bonus (new) projects, in descending order of their score, based on the total accumulated points, up to the DV Bonus amount (\$5,000,000), in the following order:
 - Transitional Housing (TH) (placing TH projects higher than RRH gives these projects better funding chances even if the DV Bonus funding is exhausted – 2 funding chances)
 - Rapid Rehousing (RRH)
 - Supportive Services Only (SSO) (Street Outreach, Standalone for sheltered individuals or CE)
6. All remaining PSH and RRH projects (app. \$19,442,850) will be reallocated to new projects as Transition Grants, except those that no longer wish to operate the project(s), in which case the

funding amount will be made available to new projects. Prioritization will be made by project type, in descending order of the project score, based on the total accumulated points.

- Transition Grants identified as transitioning to TH
 - Transition Grants identified as transitioning to SSO Standalone for sheltered individuals
7. New projects created using CoC Bonus (\$5,000,000) or any Reallocation funds available from projects that had their funds recaptured or no longer wish to operate their projects, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points. No prioritization by project type: TH/SSO for Street Outreach/SSO Standalone for sheltered individuals/SSO CE/HMIS.
 8. Any renewal project that is not willing to use the transition/reallocation process will be listed last, in descending order of their score based on the total accumulated points, up to the Tier 2 amount. No prioritization by project type: PSH/RRH/TH.
 9. Any new PSH or RRH project submitted will not be prioritized.
 10. Any renewal or new project that did not follow the locally established competition guidelines will not be included in the ranked list.

*DV or YHDP renewal projects are prioritized like all other renewal projects. For a DV/YHDP renewal project to be preserved it will need to be placed in Tier 1. Otherwise, the same prioritization rules apply, except that reallocations must occur for the same population served.

** YHDP projects considering transitioning are required to use a replacement project, they are not allowed to use the transition grant process.

Tier 1 60% ARD (\$29,164,276)	Projects Held Harmless - HMIS - Coordinated Entry (CE)	\$2,615,437
	Renewal Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Projects Projects serving single adults	\$26,548,839
	At Least 1 Family Renewal/Transition Project	
Tier 2 40% ARD (\$19,442,850) + CoC Bonus + DV Bonus = \$29,442,850 <i>(estimated)</i>	DV-Bonus (up to \$5,000,000) - Transitional Housing - Rapid Rehousing - SSO CE	\$29,442,850 <i>(estimated)</i>
	Transition Grants - Projects transitioning to TH - Projects transitioning to SSO for sheltered individuals	
	New TH & SSO Projects (up to \$5,000,000)	
	Renewal PSH/RRH Projects Not Transitioning	

ATTACHMENT X. DOCUMENT VERSION HISTORY & RATIONALE

This log provides a concise record of changes to the Policy, including when updates were made, what was changed, and the rationale behind the revisions. This supports transparency, continuity, and onboarding of new leaders/staff.

VERSION HISTORY TABLE

Version	Date Approved	Section(s) Updated	Summary of Changes	Rationale / Reason for Update	Approved By
1.0	09/29/2015	All	Adopted by the Board.	Established procedures.	CoC Board.
2.0	01/12/2025	All	Adopted by the Board.	Updated procedures.	CoC Board.
3.0	06/29/2026	All	Adopted by the Board.	Updated procedures.	CoC Board.